### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

### **DOCKETING STATEMENT**

Case Name: Sprint Corporation v. Federal Communications Commission and United States of America

Appeal No. (if available) : No. 18-9563 (MCP No. 155)

Court/Agency Appealing From: Federal Communications Commission

 

 WT Docket No. 17-79, WC Docket No. 17-84;

 Court/Agency Docket No.: FCC 18-133

 District Judge:

Party or Parties Filing Notice of Appeal/Petition: Sprint Corporation

### I. TIMELINESS OF APPEAL OR PETITION FOR REVIEW

### A. APPEAL FROM DISTRICT COURT

- 1. Date notice of appeal filed:
  - a. Was a motion filed for an extension of time to file the notice of appeal? If so, give the filing date of the motion, the date of any order disposing of the motion, and the deadline for filing notice of appeal:

b. Is the United States or an officer or an agency of the United States a party to this appeal?

- 2.
   Authority fixing time limit for filing notice of appeal:

   Fed. R. App. 4 (a)(1)(A) \_\_\_\_\_
   Fed. R. App. 4(a)(6) \_\_\_\_\_

   Fed. R. App. 4 (a)(1)(B) \_\_\_\_\_
   Fed. R. App. 4(a)(6) \_\_\_\_\_

   Fed. R. App. 4 (a)(2) \_\_\_\_\_
   Fed. R. App. 4(b)(1) \_\_\_\_\_

   Fed. R. App. 4 (a)(2) \_\_\_\_\_\_
   Fed. R. App. 4(b)(3) \_\_\_\_\_\_

   Fed. R. App. 4 (a)(3) \_\_\_\_\_\_
   Fed. R. App. 4(b)(4) \_\_\_\_\_\_

   Fed. R. App. 4 (a)(4) \_\_\_\_\_\_
   Fed. R. App. 4(c) \_\_\_\_\_\_

   Fed. R. App. 4 (a)(5) \_\_\_\_\_\_\_
   Other:
- 3. Date final judgment or order to be reviewed was **entered** on the district court docket:
- 4. Does the judgment or order to be reviewed dispose of **all** claims by and against **all** parties? *See* Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b).

### (If your answer to Question 4 above is no, please answer the following questions in this section.)

- a. If not, did district court direct entry of judgment in accordance with Fed. R. Civ. P. 54(b)? When was this done?
- b. If the judgment or order is not a final disposition, is it appealable under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)?
- c. If none of the above applies, what is the **specific** statutory basis for determining that the judgment or order is appealable?
- 5. Tolling Motions. See Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(4)(A); 4(b)(3)(A).

- a. Give the filing date of any motion that tolls the time to appeal pursuant to Fed. R. App. P. 4(a)(4)(A) or 4(b)(3)(A):
- b. Has an order been entered by the district court disposing of any such motion, and, if so, when?
- 6. Cross Appeals.
  - a. If this is a cross appeal, what relief do you seek beyond preserving the judgment below? *See United Fire & Cas. Co. v. Boulder Plaza Residential, LLC*, 633 F.3d 951, 958 (10th Cir. 2011)(addressing jurisdictional validity of conditional cross appeals).
  - b. If you do not seek relief beyond an alternative basis for affirmance, what is the jurisdictional basis for your appeal? See Breakthrough Mgt. Group, Inc. v. Chukchansi Gold Casino and Resort, 629 F.3d 1173, 1196-98 and n. 18 (10th Cir. 2010)(discussing protective or conditional cross appeals).
- B. **REVIEW OF AGENCY ORDER** (To be completed only in connection with petitions for review or applications for enforcement filed directly with the court of appeals.)

1.	Date petition for review was filed:	<u>October 25, 2018</u>
2.	Date of the order to be reviewed:	<u>October 15, 2018</u>

3. Specify the statute or other authority granting the court of appeals jurisdiction to review the order:

<u>47 U.S.C. § 402(a), 28 U.S.C. § 2342(1) and 2344, and Rule</u> <u>15(a) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.</u>

4. Specify the time limit for filing the petition (cite specific statutory section or other authority):

Within 60 days from the entry of the final Order. 28 U.S.C. § 2344.

### C. APPEAL OF TAX COURT DECISION

- Date notice of appeal was filed: (If notice was filed by mail, attach proof of postmark.)
- 2. Time limit for filing notice of appeal:

3. Date of entry of decision appealed:

4. Was a timely motion to vacate or revise a decision made under the Tax Court's Rules of Practice, and if so, when? *See* Fed. R. App. P. 13(a)

### II. LIST ALL RELATED OR PRIOR RELATED APPEALS IN THIS COURT WITH APPROPRIATE CITATION(S). If none, please so state.

The Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation has ordered the following petitions for review to be transferred to this Circuit and consolidated: *Puerto Rico Tel. Co., Inc. v. FCC*, No. 18-2063 (1st Cir.); *Verizon v. FCC*, No. 18-3255 (2d Cir.); *City of San Jose v. FCC*, No. 18-72883 (9th Cir.); *City of Seattle v. FCC*, No. 18-72893 (9th Cir); *City of Huntington Beach v. FCC*, No. 18-9563 (10th Cir.).

### III. GIVE A BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE NATURE OF THE UNDERLYING CASE AND RESULT BELOW.

In the underlying *Declaratory Ruling and Report and Order*, FCC 18-133, 83 Fed. Reg. 51,867 (Oct. 15, 2018) ("Order"), the FCC addressed local regulatory barriers and streamlined the wireless infrastructure siting review process to facilitate deployment of next-generation facilities, and in particular, Small Wireless Facilities, which are smaller than traditional wireless towers. Among other things, the Order adopted shot clocks tailored to support the deployment of Small Wireless Facilities. The FCC declined to adopt a "deemed granted" remedy when siting authorities fail to act on siting applications within the shot clock timeframes.

## IV. IDENTIFY TO THE BEST OF YOUR ABILITY AT THIS STAGE OF THE PROCEEDINGS, THE ISSUES TO BE RAISED IN THIS APPEAL.

The FCC's failure to adopt a "deemed granted" remedy violates the Administrative Procedure Act; is arbitrary, capricious, and an abuse of discretion; violates other federal laws including, but not limited to, the Communications Act of 1934 (as amended), the Commission's regulations, and the Constitution; and is otherwise contrary to the law.

### V. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION IN CRIMINAL APPEALS.

- A. Does this appeal involve review under 18 U.S.C. § 3742(a) or (b) of the sentence imposed?
- B. If the answer to A (immediately above) is yes, does the defendant also challenge the judgment of conviction?

C. Describe the sentence imposed.

D. Was the sentence imposed after a plea of guilty?

E. If the answer to D (immediately above) is yes, did the plea agreement include a waiver of appeal and/or collateral challenges?

- F. Is defendant on probation or at liberty pending appeal?
- G. If the defendant is incarcerated, what is the anticipated release date if the judgment of conviction is fully executed?
- H. Does this appeal involve the November 1, 2014 retroactive amendments to §§ 2D1.1 and 2D1.11 of the U.S. Sentencing Commission's Guidelines Manual, which reduced offense levels for certain drug trafficking offenses?
- **NOTE:** In the event expedited review is requested and a motion to that effect is filed, the defendant shall consider whether a transcript of any portion of the trial court proceedings is necessary for the appeal. Necessary transcripts must be ordered by completing and delivering the transcript order form to the Clerk of the district court with a copy filed in the court of appeals.

#### VI. ATTORNEY FILING DOCKETING STATEMENT:

Name: <u>Christopher J. Wright</u> Telephone: (202) 730-1325

Firm: Harris, Wiltshire & Grannis LLP

Email Address: <u>CWright@hwglaw.com</u>

Address: <u>1919 M Street, N.W., 8th Floor, Washington, DC 20036</u>

# PLEASE IDENTIFY ON WHOSE BEHALF THE DOCKETING STATEMENT IS FILED:

Appellant

X Petitioner

Cross-Appellant

### **B. PLEASE IDENTIFY WHETHER THE FILING COUNSEL IS**

X	Retained Attorney	
	Court-Appointed	
	Employed by a government entity	
	(please specify	)
	Employed by the Office of the Federal Public Defender.	

/s/ Christopher J. Wright

November 7, 2018

Signature

Date

### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, Christopher J. Wright, hereby certify that on November 7, 2018, I

electronically filed the foregoing, Entry of Appearance Form, using the court's

CM/ECF system which will send notification of such filing to the following:

Richard K. Welch Scott M. Noveck Jacob M. Lewis Counsel Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW, 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20554

Adam D. Chandler Robert Nicholson U.S. Department of Justice 950 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20530

Counsel for Respondents

Date: November 7, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Christopher J. Wright</u> Christopher J. Wright HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS LLP 1919 M Street, N.W., 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 T: (202) 730-1325 CWright@hwglaw.com

Counsel for Petitioner

### **CERTIFICATE OF DIGITAL SUBMISSION**

In accordance with the Court's CM/ECF User's Manual, I hereby certify that:

- All required privacy redactions have been made per Tenth Circuit Rule 25.5;
- Hard copies of this pleading that may be required to be submitted to the Court are exact copies of the ECF filing; and
- 3) The ECF submission has been scanned for viruses with the most recent version of a commercial virus scanning program, Panda Endpoint Protection Plus version 7.70.00.0004 and, according to the program, is free of viruses.

Date: November 7, 2018

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Christopher J. Wright</u> Christopher J. Wright HARRIS, WILTSHIRE & GRANNIS LLP 1919 M Street, N.W., 8th Floor Washington, D.C. 20036 T: (202) 730-1325 CWright@hwglaw.com

Counsel for Petitioner