Docket No.:	A.18-07-011 and A.18-07-012
Exhibit No.:	
Hearing Date:	
Witness:	Mark A. Israel
ALJ:	Karl Bemesderfer
Commissioner	Clifford Rechtschaffen

# SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY OF MARK A. ISRAEL

### ON BEHALF OF JOINT APPLICANTS

**NOVEMBER 7, 2019** 

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	WITNESS IDENTIFICATION	1
II.	PURPOSE OF SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY	1
	AMENDED SCOPING RULING – QUESTION NO. 1, CHANGES TO REBUTTAL TESTIMONY	3
IV.	AMENDED SCOPING RULING – QUESTION NOS. 2-8.	6
V.	CONCLUSION	6
ATT	FACHMENT A: DECLARATION	7

#### 1 I. WITNESS IDENTIFICATION

2

- 3 Q. Please state your name and position.
- 4 A. My name is Mark A. Israel. I am a Senior Managing Director at Compass
- 5 Lexecon, an economic consulting firm located in Washington D.C. My business
- 6 address is 555 12<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Washington DC 20004.

7

- 8 Q. Please describe your professional qualifications and experience.
- 9 A. I previously submitted Rebuttal Testimony dated January 29, 2019 (Hearing Ex.
- 10 Jt. Appl.7-C) and appeared as a witness at the hearing on February 7, 2019. My
- 11 professional qualifications and experience are summarized in Joint Applicants Exhibit
- 12 Jt Appl. 7-C.

1314

#### II. PURPOSE OF SUPPLEMENTAL TESTIMONY

15

- 16 Q. What is the purpose of your Supplemental Testimony?
- 17 **A.** The purpose of my Supplemental Testimony is to respond to the Assigned
- 18 Commissioner's Amended Scoping Ruling dated October 24, 2019

- 20 Q. Can you please give a high-level overview of your earlier testimony?
- 21 A. I previously testified in this proceeding that the proposed merger will strengthen
- 22 competition and benefit California consumers by increasing consumer welfare. As I
- 23 testified, consumers will enjoy benefits from the merger, relative to the no-merger
- world, because New T-Mobile's planned network will allow the combined firm to
- 25 achieve lower marginal costs of providing service, expand capacity, and offer higher
- 26 quality services than would either merging party operating on its own. With lower costs
- and higher capacity, New T-Mobile's incentives are to lower prices and increase
- product quality in order to attract more customers and thereby earn higher profits. New
- 29 T-Mobile's ability to offer consumers greater value for their money (sometimes
- referred to as lower quality-adjusted prices) will, in turn, exert competitive pressures on

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Hearing Ex. Jt. Appl. 7-C, § VII.

- 1 rival service providers to respond, through some combination of lower prices and
- 2 improved service quality, further benefiting consumers.

3

- 4 Q. Are you aware of the Federal Communications Commission and U.S. Department of
- 5 Justice Commitments referenced in the Assigned Commissioners' Amended Scoping Ruling?
- 6 A. Yes. Since I testified, there have been several post-hearing commitments and
- 7 agreements made by the Joint Applicants, including: (i) an ex parte filed with the
- 8 Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") in connection with that agency's
- 9 review of the Transaction (the "FCC Commitments"); (ii) the Proposed Final Judgment
- 10 ("PFJ") and the Stipulation & Order ("Stipulation and Order") filed by the U.S.
- Department of Justice in the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia on July 26,
- 12 2019 (the "DOJ Commitments"), and (iii) the Asset Purchase Agreement among T-
- 13 Mobile, Sprint Corporation and Dish Network Corporation ("DISH") also entered on
- July 26, 2019 (the "Asset Purchase Agreement").<sup>2</sup> DISH has also made several
- 15 commitments, discussed further below.<sup>3</sup> My prior testimony was obviously not
- informed by these subsequent events.

17

- 18 Q. Please summarize your Supplemental Testimony.
- 19 A. These events do not change my opinion that the merger is procompetitive and
- 20 welfare enhancing to California consumers. Given my understanding of the DOJ and
- 21 FCC Commitments, those Commitments should, if anything, increase the pro-
- 22 competitive and welfare-enhancing effects of the transaction. I provide my
- 23 understanding of those Commitments next, followed by my analysis of their effects on
- 24 my conclusions.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> See Amended Joint Application for Review of Wireless Transfer Notification Per Commission Decision 95-10-032 (September 19, 2019) ("Amended Wireless Notification"), Confidential Exhibit S, (FCC Commitments); *Id.* at Exhibit P (PFJ); *Id.* at Exhibit Q (Stipulation and Order); *Id.* at Exhibit R (Asset Purchase Agreement).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> See, e.g., Amended Wireless Notification, Exhibit T (DISH FCC Ex Parte (July 26, 2019)).

1	Q: Car	you direct the Court to portions of your previously submitted testimony that require
2	updating?	
3	A: My	previous testimony is consistent with the conclusions I offer here and thus
4	does not re	quire updating. In this supplemental testimony I explain the reasons why
5	subsequent events, in particular the relevant DOJ and FCC commitments, do not	
6	change my	conclusions.
7		
8	Q: Was	s this Supplemental Testimony prepared by you or under your direction and are the
9	responses y	ou have provided true and correct and complete to the best of your knowledge?
10	A. Yes	, this Supplemental Testimony was prepared by me or under my direction and the responses
11	I have provided are true and correct and complete to the best of my knowledge. I have attached a	
12	declaration	to that effect to confirm the same. See Attachment A.
13		
14	III. AMEN	DED SCOPING RULING – QUESTION NO. 1, CHANGES TO REBUTTAL
15	TESTI	MONY
16		
17	Q: The fir	st question identified in the Amended Scoping Ruling is "What changes are required
18	to previous	ly submitted written or oral witness testimony resulting from Sprint, T-Mobile or Dish
19	Network er	ntering into the DOJ and FCC Commitments?" Can you please respond?
20	A. Yes	. For the reasons I explain below, my conclusions that the proposed merger will
21	benefit consumers remain unchanged. The immediate change resulting from the commitments is	
22	that Sprint	prepaid customers will be divested and so will not be customers of New T-Mobile. I
23	discuss belo	ow the expected welfare effects related to those divested customers.
24		
25	Q. Cou	ıld you elaborate?
26	A. Yes	. First, I will answer that by providing my understanding of the various
27	commitmen	nts at a high level, but I stress that this summary is not intended to be

will make available the same or better rate plans as those offered by T-Mobile or Sprint

2829

30

31

discussion.

encyclopedic. Rather, I intend it to highlight certain aspects that inform my subsequent

First, the Joint Applicants have committed to the FCC that 1) "New T-Mobile

I	as of [February 4, 2019] for three years following the merger, "and 2) "I-Mobile and
2	Sprint legacy rate plans will continue as New T-Mobile plans for three years after the
3	merger or until better plans that offer a lower price or more data are made available,
4	whichever occurs first." <sup>5</sup>
5	Second, the Joint Applicants have made various build-out commitments to the
6	FCC and DOJ, including deploying low-band 5G coverage to at least 97 percent of the
7	U.S. population within three years of the proposed transaction. <sup>6</sup>
8	Third, DISH will acquire Sprint's prepaid customer accounts, will be provided
9	access to New T-Mobile's network through an MVNO agreement, and will have the
10	option of acquiring a variety of assets that would otherwise be decommissioned by
11	New T-Mobile, including cell site assets and retail locations. <sup>7</sup>
12	I understand that DISH will use the acquired assets to begin selling mobile
13	wireless service in 2020, supported by its wholesale agreement with Sprint and T-
14	Mobile, which provides DISH with access to the New T-Mobile Network. <sup>8</sup> I
15	understand that DISH has also made specific network build-out commitments to the
16	FCC. <sup>9</sup>
17	
18	Q. How do these commitments and plans affect your prior testimony?
19	A. The pricing and build-out commitments by the Joint Applicants formalize what
20	New T-Mobile already has incentives to do in any case, as I discussed in my prior
21	testimony. The Joint Applicants' willingness to undertake these commitments thus
22	reinforces my prior testimony.
23	The divestiture of some assets and customers to DISH does not change my

conclusions. The retail store and cell site assets would otherwise have been

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See Amended Wireless Notification, Confidential Exhibit S (FCC Commitments).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> *Id*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> *Id.*; see also Exhibit P (PFJ) at § VIII.A.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> *Id.* at Exhibit R (Asset Purchase Agreement).

See, e.g., DISH Network Corporation FQ2 2019 Earnings Call Transcript, July 29. 2019, and DISH Press Release, "DISH to Become National Facilities-based Wireless Carrier," July 26, 2019.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> Amended Wireless Notification, Exhibit T (DISH FCC Ex Parte (July 26, 2019)).

1	decommissioned (and thus did not contribute to my assessment of New T-Mobile's cost
2	or quality), New T-Mobile was not planning to use the 800 MHz spectrum that DISH
3	has the option to obtain to deploy its 5G network, and the DISH wholesale traffic does

not materially affect network efficiencies that I discussed.

In addition, based on my understanding of the commitments described above, the divested customers are likely to benefit, both directly and indirectly, from the merger, for several reasons.

**First**, the customers acquired by DISH will have access to the New T-Mobile network via DISH's wholesale agreement.

**Second**, those customers should benefit from lower prices due to competition with New T-Mobile. As I explained in my prior testimony, with lower costs and higher capacity, New T-Mobile will have an incentive to lower prices and increase product quality in order to attract more customers and thereby earn higher profits. The competitive pressure generated on rival service providers including DISH by these New T-Mobile strategies should benefit their customers as well.

**Third**, DISH should have very low marginal costs for serving new customers on its new network. As I discussed in my initial testimony, marginal cost is the cost of adding an incremental customer and marginal costs are relevant because they directly impact retail prices.<sup>10</sup>

DISH will start with only around 9.3 million subscribers—a small number relative to its substantial spectrum holdings.<sup>11</sup> But DISH has build-out commitments for both its previously held spectrum and the new spectrum it will acquire as part of this transaction.<sup>12</sup> Once DISH is working on the cell sites for its new network, I understand that the incremental costs of deploying spectrum, *e.g.*, adding an additional radio on that site, are low. So with the low cost of deploying additional spectrum and DISH's commitments to both deploy a new network and put its spectrum into use, it is

See, *e.g.*, Hearing Ex. Jt. Appl.7-C, 3 n. 4, 17.

Amended Wireless Notification, Exhibit R (Asset Purchase Agreement), and DISH 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2018.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> DISH 10-K for the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2018, and Amended Wireless Notification, Exhibit T (DISH FCC *Ex Parte* (July 26, 2019)).

1	reasor	hable to expect DISH will have substantial spectrum in service. <sup>13</sup> Thus, in light of	
2	DISH	's commitments to building out a national footprint of cell towers utilizing its	
3	substa	antial spectrum holdings, DISH should have substantial capacity relative to	
4	expec	ted traffic. <sup>14</sup> That capacity will provide both very low marginal cost for handling	
5	additi	onal traffic and a high-quality service in terms of high expected throughput.	
6		In addition, in setting near-term prices, DISH will have to take into account the	
7	fact th	nat it will have these very low marginal costs on its new network in the near	
8	future	. Since it takes time to attract new customers, there are advantages to obtaining	
9	new c	ustomers prior to completion of the new network in order to take immediate	
10	advan	tage of that new network once it comes on line. DISH will therefore have	
11	incent	rives to attract new customers through attractive pricing both before and after	
12	completion of its new network. Furthermore, lost customers can be costly to reacquire,		
13	so DISH will also have incentives to retain existing Sprint prepaid customers through		
14	attract	tive pricing.	
15		Thus, it is reasonable to expect the divestiture to be procompetitive.	
16			
17	IV. A	MENDED SCOPING RULING – QUESTION NOS. 2-8.	
18			
19	Q.	The Assigned Commissioner's Amended Scoping Ruling also includes seven (7)	
20	other	questions. Do you have any comment on those questions?	
21	<b>A.</b>	No. I have no particular response to any of the other questions beyond my responses	
22	above	. I understand those questions are being addressed by other witnesses for T-Mobile.	
23			
24	V. C	ONCLUSION	
25			
26	Q.	Does this conclude your supplemental testimony?	
27	A.	Yes, it does.	

<sup>13</sup> G. Michael Sievert and Neville Ray have testified extensively on these concepts. See, *e.g.*, Sievert Hearing Testimony, pp. 311-312 explaining that once a firm is working on a tower, there is very little incremental cost to deploy all of the available spectrum rather than just a portion of it.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> *Id*.

1	ATTACHNIENT A: DECLARATION			
2	I, Mark A. Israel, have reviewed the responses to the questions posed in the			
3	accompanying Supplemental Testimony and declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of			
4	the State of California that the responses to the questions posed are true and correct to the best of			
5	my knowledge, information and belief, and if called to testify thereon I am prepared to do so.			
6				
7				
8	Dated: November 7, 2019	Mah a Il		
9		Mark A. Israel		