BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

OF THE

STATE OF CALIFORNIA



ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ZHEN ZHANG, presiding

Order Instituting Investigation on) the Commission's Own Motion into the) California's One Million New Internet Users Coalition's Misuse of) California Advanced Services Fund) Grant Funds; and Order to Show Cause) Why the Commission Should Not Impose) Penalties and/or Other Remedies for) Violating Terms of Their Grant and) for Refusing to Return Funds) Previously Demanded by the) Commission's Division.)

STATUS CONFERENCE Investigation 18-07-009

)

REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT TELEPHONIC MAY 4, 2020 Pages 1 - 81

Reported by: Karly Powers, CSR No. #13991

| | Status Conference May 4, 2020 2 |
|----|---|
| 1 | TELEPHONIC |
| 2 | MAY 4, 2020 - 9:04 a.m. |
| 3 | * * * * * |
| 4 | ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE ZHANG: Let's |
| 5 | go on the record. |
| 6 | Good morning, the Commission will |
| 7 | come to order. We are now on the record. |
| 8 | I am Administrative Law Judge Zhang, |
| 9 | the presiding officer in this proceeding. |
| 10 | This is the time and place for the Case |
| 11 | Management Conference for Investigation |
| 12 | 18-07-009, Order Instituting Investigation on |
| 13 | the Commission's own motion into California's |
| 14 | One Million New Internet Users Coalition's |
| 15 | Misuse of California Advanced Services Fund |
| 16 | Grant Fund, and Order to Show Cause why the |
| 17 | Commission should not impose penalties and/or |
| 18 | other remedies for violating terms of their |
| 19 | grant, and for refusing to return funds |
| 20 | previously demanded by the Commission's |
| 21 | Division. |
| 22 | This Case Management Conference is |
| 23 | being conducted telephonically. To ensure |
| 24 | complete, clear, and accurate court |
| 25 | reporting, the following ground rules shall |
| 26 | apply to this telephonic conference: |
| 27 | Parties shall speak in order |
| 28 | announced by the Judge; |

```
Status Conference
   May 4, 2020
                                                     3
               I will call on each person
 1
 2
     individually;
               Parties are to announce and identify
 3
     themselves each time when speaking;
 4
 5
               Parties are not to interrupt each
     other or the Judge;
 6
 7
               Parties are to mute the phone when
 8
     not speaking;
 9
               Parties must speak slowly and
10
     enunciate. And I emphasize "over-enunciate"
11
     so that the court reporter could get a clear
12
     transcript.
               I am now going to roll call.
13
                                              Will
14
     the Counsel for Consumer Protection and
15
     Enforcement Division identify him or herself
16
     for the record, and please spell your full
17
     name.
18
           MS. BALDWIN:
                          This is Vanessa Baldwin,
     V-a-n-e-s-s-a, Baldwin, B-a-l-d-w-i-n,
19
20
     Counsel for the Consumer Protection and
21
     Enforcement Division.
22
           ALJ ZHANG: Will the representative for
23
     Community Union identify him or herself for
24
     the record? And please spell your full name.
25
           MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega, L-a-r-r-y,
26
     O-r-t-e-g-a.
27
           ALJ ZHANG: The agenda for today is as
     follows:
2.8
```

```
Status Conference
May 4, 2020
```

| | May 4, 2020 4 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Status of the parties after |
| 2 | Alternative Dispute Resolution; |
| 3 | Identification of outstanding |
| 4 | disputed facts to be determined at the |
| 5 | evidentiary hearing; |
| 6 | Identification of any outstanding |
| 7 | discovery issues; |
| 8 | Identification of any witnesses to |
| 9 | be cross-examined at the evidentiary hearing; |
| 10 | The schedule for this proceeding; |
| 11 | And closing and questions. |
| 12 | Let's get started. |
| 13 | Consumer Protection and Enforcement |
| 14 | Division, which I will refer to as "CPED," |
| 15 | has filed a motion for approval of settlement |
| 16 | with Korean Churches for Community |
| 17 | Development. CPED also filed a joint motion |
| 18 | for dismissal of Asian Pacific Community. |
| 19 | Last Friday, CPED, Korean Churches For |
| 20 | Community Development, Black Business |
| 21 | Association, and the Soledad Enrichment |
| 22 | Action-Charter Schools filed a joint motion |
| 23 | to dismiss Black Business Association and the |
| 24 | Soledad Enrichment Action-Charter Schools for |
| 25 | from this proceeding. |
| 26 | In Community Union's Case |
| 27 | Management Statement, it identified itself |
| 28 | and CPUC as the remaining parties after |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 5 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Alternative Dispute Resolution. Mr. Ortega |
| 2 | is the President of Community Union. He has |
| 3 | not been personally named as a respondent in |
| 4 | this proceeding. |
| 5 | This question is directed Consumer |
| 6 | Protection and Enforcement Division. |
| 7 | Ms. Baldwin, do you have anything |
| 8 | to add in terms of the what appears to be the |
| 9 | current status of this proceeding, that the |
| 10 | only remaining party is Community Union. |
| 11 | MS. BALDWIN: No. Vanessa Baldwin on |
| 12 | behalf of the Consumer Protection Enforcement |
| 13 | Division. We Community Union is one of |
| 14 | the remaining parties. And we have also |
| 15 | identified Mr. Larry Ortega as another |
| 16 | responsible party, being the President and |
| 17 | leader of the coalition at the time. |
| 18 | ALJ ZHANG: Ms. Baldwin, is this in the |
| 19 | Order Instituting Investigation that was |
| 20 | filed on July 24th, 2018? |
| 21 | MS. BALDWIN: I believe let me pull |
| 22 | it up, your Honor. But I do believe we had |
| 23 | requested remedies against all the parties, |
| 24 | including Mr. Larry Ortega, including |
| 25 | potential sanctions depending on how the |
| 26 | Commission had ruled. And let me pull that |
| 27 | up right now. |
| 28 | THE REPORTER: Ms. Baldwin, if you |

Status Conference May 4, 2020 6 wouldn't mind speaking up, that would be 1 2 great. Thank you. 3 MS. BALDWIN: Sure. ALJ ZHANG: While you're looking at the 4 5 investigation documents, I believe you said 6 the investigation is against Mr. Ortega as 7 the President of Community Union. That is not the same as against Mr. Ortega as an 8 9 individual person. That is correct. 10 MS. BALDWIN: Tt's 11 Mr. Ortega as the President of Community Union and as the leader --12 13 ALJ ZHANG: Okay. MS. BALDWIN: -- of the coalition. 14 15 ALJ ZHANG: Okay. 16 Mr. Ortega, do you have anything to 17 add as to the status of this proceeding? 18 MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega; I do. I'm not -- well, actually, a point 19 20 of clarification, your Honor. 21 In terms of the question that you 22 had asked CPED relative to the matter 23 including me personally, I had -- in my 24 responding papers for this case management 25 had indicated that all of my work has been on 26 behalf of Community Union. And that, as 27 such, we were -- that I, personally, was protected by the laws of California as a cor 2.8

| | May 4, 2020 / |
|----|---|
| 1 | as being the President of a corporation. |
| 2 | And I so stated in my Case Management |
| 3 | Statement. |
| 4 | So that excuse me. That's number |
| 5 | one. I just kind of wanted to point that out |
| 6 | again. And which isn't really, |
| 7 | necessarily, an addition, but just as a |
| 8 | matter of, kind of a point of clarification. |
| 9 | And then, also, on the grounds that |
| 10 | the settlement agreement between CPED and the |
| 11 | Korean Churches, KCCD, who is the fiscal |
| 12 | agent in this matter, on that basis, that |
| 13 | would then it is our contention, Community |
| 14 | Union's contention, that in strict adherence |
| 15 | to the contract that if it is CPED's intent |
| 16 | to settle with the fiscal agent in this |
| 17 | matter, that then the Commission loses its |
| 18 | jurisdictional reach to Community Union. |
| 19 | Because there's no from a contractual |
| 20 | standpoint, there's no breech that is |
| 21 | provided for CPED. And, thus, this matter |
| 22 | should be dismissed if it's their intent to |
| 23 | enter into a settlement with KCCD. |
| 24 | The contract is clear in Attachment |
| 25 | A. And it is so signed by the fiscal agent |
| 26 | in this matter. And so |
| 27 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega? |
| 28 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes. |
| | |

| 1 | ALJ ZHANG: Regarding the first point, |
|----|---|
| 2 | there is no argument that the investigation |
| 3 | is against Community Union and you as the |
| 4 | President of Community Union. CPED is not |
| 5 | investigating you personally; only as your |
| 6 | role as the President of Community Union. |
| 7 | Regarding your second point, all |
| 8 | five members of the coalition entered into a |
| 9 | contract with the PUC in order to receive |
| 10 | grant money. This is irrespective of the |
| 11 | fiscal agent. Every single per entity |
| 12 | entered into a contract with the Commission. |
| 13 | And regarding your dispute as to the |
| 14 | facts, we will get to that. So hold your |
| 15 | thoughts until then. |
| 16 | Now, we will proceed to the second |
| 17 | agenda item. |
| 18 | I have looked at the list of |
| 19 | disputed facts. After reviewing the Case |
| 20 | Management Statement by the parties, I have |
| 21 | determined the following disputed facts. |
| 22 | It is really important that the |
| 23 | parties understand these are the facts that |
| 24 | are subject to cross-examination at the |
| 25 | evidentiary hearings. These are the facts |
| 26 | that are in dispute and the parties must keep |
| 27 | in mind when they serve their testimony on |
| 28 | the service list. |
| | |

```
Status Conference
May 4, 2020
```

I have determined that the disputed 1 2 facts are: Number one, did Respondent, 3 Community Union record all of its revenues 4 5 and expenses in a general ledger under the generally-accepted accounting procedures? 6 7 Number two, what other revenues or funds did Respondent, Community Union, 8 9 collect and/or receive from sources other than the California Advanced Services Fund 10 11 Grant? 12 Number three, did Respondent collect 13 or seek to collect duplicate funding from 14 other sources and use the same expenses 15 charged to the California Advanced Services 16 Fund Grant? 17 Number four, did the Audit Report 18 correctly include all allowable expenses for 19 quarters 11, 12, and 13? 20 Number five, did the Audit Report 21 correctly use 10 out of 13 months of expenses incurred? 22 23 Number six, did the Audit Report 2.4 estimate numbers when it could have used 25 actual numbers? 26 It looks like I actually have one 27 less disputed facts. There is a total of 19. 2.8 Number seven, did Respondent meet

| 1 | their performance metrics of 40 hours of | |
|----|---|--|
| 2 | technology training consistent with the work | |
| 3 | plan they proposed in their grant | |
| 4 | application? | |
| 5 | Number eight, did Respondent meet | |
| 6 | activities one through seven of the work | |
| 7 | plan? | |
| 8 | Number ten, did Respondents meet | |
| 9 | their performance metric for the first two | |
| 10 | years of the grant period of 790 attendees | |
| 11 | per year, in accordance with the work plan? | |
| 12 | Did Respondents meet their | |
| 13 | performance metric for the first two years of | |
| 14 | the grant period graduating 65 percent or 514 | |
| 15 | graduates to enter Post-New Internet Users | |
| 16 | workshops. | |
| 17 | Number 11, did Respondent notify the | |
| 18 | Communications Division Director at least 30 | |
| 19 | days before reducing their technology | |
| 20 | training to less than 40 hours? | |
| 21 | Number 12, were the invoices | |
| 22 | submitted to the Communications Division | |
| 23 | supported support the reimbursements? | |
| 24 | Number 13, do the time cards | |
| 25 | produced by Mr. Ortega to the State | |
| 26 | Controller's Office indicate that the | |
| 27 | described activities took place. | |
| 28 | I think my numbering is off. And | |
| | | |

Status Conference May 4, 2020 11 we're on number 14. 1 2 Number 14, did Respondent violate Rule 1.1 when it reported 40 hours of 3 technology training in its work plan, but 4 5 actually reduced its training to less than 6 40 hours? 7 Number 15, what facts support penalties in this proceeding? 8 9 Number 16, which facts indicate that 10 Respondents should be barred from receiving 11 future funds from Commission Public Purpose 12 Programs? Number 17, currently, what is 13 14 Respondent's, Community Union's, financial 15 position? 16 Number 18, what is Respondent's total revenue, including other grant sources, 17 18 currently? 19 Number 19, what is 20 Respondent's total expenses, currently? 21 Community Union's Case Management 22 Statement includes factual disputes in both 23 the "Discovery Issues" section and the "Factual Disputes" section. From the 24 25 Discovery Issue section, I have included the 26 following disputes: 27 Community Union's Discovery Issues 2.8 Number 3, 10, and 11 involve the Audit

| | May 4, 2020 12 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Report. These factual disputes have been |
| 2 | included in the list that I just stated. |
| 3 | Community Union's Discovery Issues |
| 4 | Number 6, Number 7, Number 8 discuss whether |
| 5 | all activities of the work plan have been |
| 6 | satisfied. This has also been added to the |
| 7 | list that I just stated. |
| 8 | The Factual Disputes section of |
| 9 | Community Union's case management statement |
| 10 | lists ten items: |
| 11 | Number one, discusses whether |
| 12 | Community Union on Korean Churches for |
| 13 | Community Development is the fiscal agent. |
| 14 | Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division |
| 15 | has identified Korean Churches for Community |
| 16 | Development as the fiscal agent bound by the |
| 17 | fiscal agent agreement. Therefore, the |
| 18 | fiscal agent agreement and whether Korean |
| 19 | Churches for Community Development was |
| 20 | actually the fiscal agent are not factual |
| 21 | disputes. |
| 22 | Number two and three of the factual |
| 23 | dispute section discusses Community Union's |
| 24 | obligation to provide all accounting records |
| 25 | to CPED and Community Union's liability. |
| 26 | This is a matter of law involving an entity's |
| 27 | liability, regardless of the fiscal agent's |
| 28 | responsibility and will be discussed as part |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 13 |
|----|---|
| 1 | of CPED's motion to compel discovery. |
| 2 | Therefore, this is not part of the disputed |
| 3 | facts. |
| 4 | Number four and number six involve |
| 5 | the contract requirements of the work plan. |
| 6 | This is not a factual dispute as the |
| 7 | agreement between the parties states what it |
| 8 | states. The weight of the evidence regarding |
| 9 | the importance of activity five will be |
| 10 | discussed in the proposed decision. |
| 11 | Number five involves Mr. Ortega's |
| 12 | personal liability. We just discussed this. |
| 13 | This is a question of law. CPED agrees that |
| 14 | Mr. Ortega is only liable in his role as the |
| 15 | President of Community Union. This does not |
| 16 | fall into the category of disputed facts. |
| 17 | Number seven involves issues with |
| 18 | the Audit Report. These issues have been |
| 19 | added to the facts disputed facts that I |
| 20 | just stated. Number seven also involves the |
| 21 | liability versus the liability of |
| 22 | Community Union as compared to the liability |
| 23 | of Korean Churches for Community Development. |
| 24 | Again, this is an issue of law. It is not a |
| 25 | disputed fact. |
| 26 | Number nine and number ten involve |
| 27 | information that Community Union provided |
| 28 | previously during the audit. To the extent |

| Status | Conference |
|--------|------------|
| May 4, | 2020 |

| | May 4, 2020 14 |
|----|---|
| 1 | that they are part of the record, these do |
| 2 | not fall into the disputed facts category. |
| 3 | Ms. Baldwin, do you have any |
| 4 | comments? |
| 5 | MS. BALDWIN: Your Honor, Vanessa |
| 6 | Baldwin for CPED. |
| 7 | My only addition is, I did go back |
| 8 | and look at the OII. And on page 19, it does |
| 9 | discuss other remedies, as appropriate, |
| 10 | specifically that, you know, Mr. Ortega |
| 11 | should be held accountable and reasons why |
| 12 | and then, ultimately, leading up to what you |
| 13 | had already mentioned about possibly imposing |
| 14 | other equitable remedies, such as banning Mr. |
| 15 | Ortega. But, again, it's his role as the |
| 16 | leader and President of Community Union. |
| 17 | ALJ ZHANG: Thank you, Ms. Baldwin. |
| 18 | Do you have any comments regarding |
| 19 | the list of disputed facts? |
| 20 | MS. BALDWIN: I do not, your Honor. |
| 21 | Thank you. |
| 22 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, do you have any |
| 23 | comments regarding the list of disputed |
| 24 | facts? |
| 25 | MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega for Community |
| 26 | Union. I I did, your Honor. |
| 27 | In as you went through let me |
| 28 | see here. I'm covering my notes here. |
| | |

| Status | Conference |
|--------|------------|
| May 4, | 2020 |

| | May 4, 2020 15 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Excuse me just one second as I cycle through. |
| 2 | Just one second. |
| 3 | Okay. Excuse me, your Honor, I |
| 4 | I'm okay. I do not have anything to add at |
| 5 | this time. |
| 6 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Thank you. |
| 7 | We will move on to the agenda item |
| 8 | Number 3 regarding discovery issues. |
| 9 | The parties' case management |
| 10 | statements have addressed discovery concerns, |
| 11 | such as challenges acquiring information from |
| 12 | the opposing party or barriers to discover |
| 13 | information that would assist in determining |
| 14 | facts of this proceeding. |
| 15 | In Community Union's case management |
| 16 | statement, as I already mentioned, the case |
| 17 | management statement lists 13 discovery |
| 18 | issues; but only some of them involve |
| 19 | discovery. Others involve issues of law and |
| 20 | factual disputes. Numbers 1, 2, 4, 5 and 9 |
| 21 | dispute the responsibility of California's |
| 22 | One Million New Internet Users Coalition, the |
| 23 | responsibility of the five member |
| 24 | organizations, the responsibility of the |
| 25 | fiscal agent. |
| 26 | California's One Million New |
| 27 | Internet Users Coalition is simply a term of |
| 28 | use to cover all five member organizations. |

| | Indy 1, 2020 10 |
|----|---|
| 1 | All five member organizations are named as |
| 2 | respondents in this proceeding, because they |
| 3 | contracted with the Commission to receive |
| 4 | grant money in exchange for activities |
| 5 | described in the work plan. |
| 6 | The fiscal agent has |
| 7 | responsibilities set forth in the fiscal |
| 8 | agent agreement. But the fiscal agent's |
| 9 | responsibilities do not relieve any member |
| 10 | organizations performing what was promised in |
| 11 | the work plan. The extent to which each of |
| 12 | the five organizations is responsible is a |
| 13 | determination of the law. Therefore, |
| 14 | Community Union's Discovery Issues Number 1, |
| 15 | 2, 4, 5, and 9 can be discussed in the |
| 16 | briefs. And the briefs happen after the |
| 17 | evidentiary hearings. |
| 18 | Regarding number 9, specifically, |
| 19 | whether Korean Churches for Community Union |
| 20 | Development Fiscal Agreement was actually |
| 21 | executed, this has been discussed already. |
| 22 | This is not a dispute of fact. |
| 23 | Community Union's discovery issue |
| 24 | number 12 seeks emails from March 1st, 2015 |
| 25 | to June 30th, 2015 by and between Robert |
| 26 | Wullenjohn and Communication Division's |
| 27 | staff, also, emails between Robert Wullenjohn |
| 28 | and the former Deputy Executive Director, |
| | |

| Status | Conference |
|--------|------------|
| May 4, | 2020 |

| | May 4, 2020 1 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Ryan Dulin, regarding quarterly reimbursement |
| 2 | requests for quarters 11, 12, and 13 by |
| 3 | California One Million New Internet Users |
| 4 | Coalition. |
| 5 | Community union's discovery issue |
| 6 | number 13 seeks emails between Andrew |
| 7 | Finlayson and Chris Prassad regarding the |
| 8 | 40-hour training misinformation. The |
| 9 | "40-hour training misinformation" is the term |
| 10 | used in Community Union's Case Management |
| 11 | Statement. |
| 12 | Regarding discovery issue number 12 |
| 13 | and number 13, these are requests that it |
| 14 | appears Community Union submitted Consumer |
| 15 | Protection Enforcement Division. |
| 16 | This question is for Ms. Baldwin. |
| 17 | Do you know if your client has |
| 18 | responded to Community Union's request |
| 19 | described in Discovery Issue Number 12? |
| 20 | MS. BALDWIN: Your Honor, let me pull |
| 21 | up we have not or my client has not |
| 22 | received any data requests, or something |
| 23 | similar, from Mr. Ortega, apart from this |
| 24 | general intention in his and I excuse |
| 25 | me in Community Union's case management |
| 26 | statement to seek the information. We have |
| 27 | not received a formal request, to date. |
| 28 | ALJ ZHANG: Ms. Baldwin, would your |

| | ridy 1, 2020 |
|----|---|
| 1 | client accept a verbal request right now? |
| 2 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. |
| 3 | I mean, to the extent if Mr. |
| 4 | Ortega could narrow the request because |
| 5 | right now he seeks all emails from the time |
| 6 | period regarding the contract. But what, in |
| 7 | particular, email is he seeking? |
| 8 | Is it just in regards to the work |
| 9 | plan, or the documents that the Commission |
| 10 | used to approve the coalition as the CAFF |
| 11 | grantee? I guess I we just need a little |
| 12 | bit more clarification on directions to |
| 13 | contract. |
| 14 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, do you have |
| 15 | more details regarding what you're seeking? |
| 16 | MR. ORTEGA: I do, your Honor. But |
| 17 | just before I get to that, I want to just |
| 18 | have a quick point of the clarification. |
| 19 | We did there was a communication |
| 20 | that was received, I believe, from the CD's |
| 21 | office, Communication Division's office in |
| 22 | response to a request that we had. But it |
| 23 | was woefully inadequate. I have that email. |
| 24 | And I can I'll be happy to share it, just |
| 25 | as a reminder to Ms. Baldwin and her team |
| 26 | that she represents, as well as just as a |
| 27 | matter of record for the Judge in this issue. |
| 28 | So that was out there. That question was out |

| | May 4, 2020 19 |
|----|---|
| 1 | there. |
| 2 | As it relates to the specific |
| 3 | question, I I can yes. To answer your |
| 4 | question, your Honor, I can be more specific. |
| 5 | I would not want to believe that I could do |
| 6 | it over the phone right now. I would take |
| 7 | some time, if I may, at you know, after |
| 8 | this call, I can submit the specificity that |
| 9 | they seek as to the details of the emails. |
| 10 | But mainly it's just that more |
| 11 | than anything moreover than anything is |
| 12 | the matter of did they actually receive those |
| 13 | final quarter's reimbursement requests? And |
| 14 | what was the communication? How were they |
| 15 | treating it? |
| 16 | Because in the response that I had |
| 17 | gotten from CD's office as I had mentioned in |
| 18 | these remarks that I just started right now, |
| 19 | in there they had the CD's Office, |
| 20 | Communication Division, had indicated that |
| 21 | they did not have the sign-in sheets that |
| 22 | would substantiate the attendance that we had |
| 23 | perceived in this those last two, three |
| 24 | quarters. And so that was troubling that |
| 25 | they did not have that. And so we you |
| 26 | know, we wanted to see, like, what were they |
| 27 | talking about at that time? I mean, what was |
| 28 | their intent? And that would go a long way |

| 1 | in terms of substantiating kind of our |
|----|---|
| 2 | position in this whole matter as a that |
| 3 | this is a it's not in it's a matter of |
| 4 | trying to, you know, get at us get at |
| 5 | Community Union to punish us in some way for |
| 6 | the work that had been completed. And there |
| 7 | are ways to try to not pay for that work that |
| 8 | was actually completed, so to try to hurt |
| 9 | us which has been our contention the whole |
| 10 | time, is that this thing has been a matter of |
| 11 | trying to hurt Community Union and Larry |
| 12 | Ortega, you know, personally. And that's |
| 13 | what we intend to get at. |
| 14 | So, it would be interesting to know |
| 15 | what they were saying when that documentation |
| 16 | was received by CD, and then what they |
| 17 | subsequently did with that information. |
| 18 | I'm sorry, your Honor, that was a |
| 19 | long answer to that question. I apologize. |
| 20 | ALJ ZHANG: Ms. Baldwin, do you know if |
| 21 | CPED has the documents the sign-in sheet |
| 22 | that Mr. Ortega is referring to? |
| 23 | MS. BALDWIN: I am not certain that we |
| 24 | have those sign-in sheets. I know we have |
| 25 | some of the sign-in sheets. |
| 26 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 27 | MS. BALDWIN: But I don't know if it's |
| 28 | for the entire time period. |
| | |

| | Status ConferenceMay 4, 202021 |
|----|---|
| 1 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Mr. Ortega, please |
| 2 | email CPED regarding your discovery request |
| 3 | Number 12 and request Number 13. |
| 4 | MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega. I |
| 5 | understand, your Honor. |
| 6 | Thank you. |
| 7 | ALJ ZHANG: Ms. Baldwin, did you have a |
| 8 | comment? |
| 9 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. Thank |
| 10 | you. |
| 11 | Mr. Ortega had mentioned that he had |
| 12 | already sent a request I think, was it to |
| 13 | CD for the information he seeks in Number |
| 14 | 12 as his case management statement. And I |
| 15 | just wanted to clarify when that was sent and |
| 16 | also to clarify that I do represent CPED and |
| 17 | not CD. But to the extent that from this |
| 18 | investigation forward, Mr. Ortega had reached |
| 19 | out to CD and sought this information, if he |
| 20 | could please copy me on it, since I am the |
| 21 | attorney, for CPED, which some of our |
| 22 | witnesses do include CD staff. |
| 23 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 24 | Mr. Ortega, do you understand that |
| 25 | this proceeding is initiated by the Consumer |
| 26 | Protection and Enforcement Division? Ms. |
| 27 | Baldwin represents the Consumer Protection |
| 28 | and Enforcement Division, even though there |

| | Status ConferenceMay 4, 202022 |
|----|---|
| 1 | are witnesses from the Communications |
| 2 | Division. So if you have data requests, you |
| 3 | must submit them through Ms. Baldwin. |
| 4 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes. Your Honor, that has |
| 5 | been my understanding. |
| 6 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Have you copied Ms. |
| 7 | Baldwin in your past data requests to |
| 8 | Communications Division? |
| 9 | MR. ORTEGA: Your Honor, I don't know. |
| 10 | I know that Ms. Shek had previously |
| 11 | represented CPED in this matter. So I |
| 12 | until I can get back to my emails to identify |
| 13 | when and who was copied on it, I would not be |
| 14 | able to answer that question. |
| 15 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. It is Monday now. |
| 16 | Do you think you can have an email detailing |
| 17 | your request by tomorrow afternoon? |
| 18 | MR. ORTEGA: Probably by Wednesday, |
| 19 | your Honor. |
| 20 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Let's do Wednesday, |
| 21 | May 6th, by noon. |
| 22 | Does that work for you, Mr. Ortega? |
| 23 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes, I believe |
| 24 | Wednesday, May 6th, at noon. I believe I can |
| 25 | make that work. Yes, your Honor. |
| 26 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 27 | Now, I'm moving on to Consumer |
| 28 | Protection and Enforcement Division's |

| 1 | discovery issues. |
|----|---|
| 2 | On March 25, 2020, CPED filed a |
| 3 | motion to compel responses and data requests. |
| 4 | CPED is trying to determine whether |
| 5 | California Advanced Services Fund Grant was |
| 6 | spent on items that were not allowed or |
| 7 | authorized, to the extent that the One |
| 8 | Million New Internet Users Coalition received |
| 9 | funds from others sources for the same |
| 10 | expenses. CPED submitted a data request to |
| 11 | Community Union on July 21st, 2017, seeking |
| 12 | information related to the organization's |
| 13 | revenue and expenses. |
| 14 | On February 21st, 2020, CPED |
| 15 | submitted a data request nearly identical to |
| 16 | the 2017 data requests. CPED states it is |
| 17 | disadvantaged and prejudiced by Community |
| 18 | Union's refusal to respond and refusal to |
| 19 | provide accounting records and source |
| 20 | documents. Pursuant to the Commission's |
| 21 | Rules of Practice and Procedure, |
| 22 | Rule 11.3(b), the response shall be filed |
| 23 | within ten days of the date that the motion |
| 24 | was served. |
| 25 | Mr. Ortega, do you have any comments |
| 26 | regarding your failure to respond to the two |
| 27 | data requests, one that is from 2017? |
| 28 | MR. ORTEGA: I do, your Honor. This is |
| | |

| 1 | Larry Ortega. |
|----|---|
| 2 | On the as on the initial |
| 3 | request, we did respond to CPED's requests in |
| 4 | 2017. Although, we we attempted I |
| 5 | should say it like this. We attempted to |
| 6 | respond. And we did not fully because we |
| 7 | are not attorneys, we weren't rep we were |
| 8 | representing ourselves. I was representing |
| 9 | the agency. |
| 10 | And I had gotten some consultation |
| 11 | from attorneys who were not familiar with the |
| 12 | specificity to how processes and procedures |
| 13 | work within the Commission. And we erred |
| 14 | record in that regard. And so our response |
| 15 | was sufficient at that time in 2017 as we |
| 16 | had asked Mr. Hom, Mr. Brian Hom, in our |
| 17 | communications, we had indicated that |
| 18 | everything that they needed was available in |
| 19 | our audit response. So our response to the |
| 20 | audit that was completed by the SCO, State |
| 21 | Controllers Office, had had articulated in |
| 22 | very clear terms the answers to the questions |
| 23 | about how did the 40 hours go from being all |
| 24 | in class to some of it being at home where we |
| 25 | wanted, you know, parents to actually do that |
| 26 | information, we respond there. |
| 27 | We responded clearly in again, |
| 28 | this is all in the audit response. It was a |

| | May 1, 2020 25 |
|----|---|
| 1 | hundred-page response that we felt that we |
| 2 | detailed exactly what we had transpired and |
| 3 | tried to bring clarity to the audit's |
| 4 | findings. And so we went into great detail. |
| 5 | And at that time, though in error, you know, |
| 6 | we recognize that now, we made a mistake. We |
| 7 | did attempt |
| 8 | (Crosstalk.) |
| 9 | MR. ORTEGA: I'm sorry. |
| 10 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, I understand |
| 11 | that your response to 2017 was sufficient. |
| 12 | How about a response to the |
| 13 | February 21st, 2020 data request? Have you |
| 14 | attempted to respond to the February 21st, |
| 15 | 2020 data request? |
| 16 | MR. ORTEGA: Okay. As it relates to |
| 17 | the February 2020 data request, we have began |
| 18 | and I'll start with that we are under |
| 19 | tremendous financial duress right now as an |
| 20 | organization, which has been, you know, asked |
| 21 | for in terms of the discovery which we intend |
| 22 | to show. We will show, you know, our total |
| 23 | revenue, our total expenses for whatever |
| 24 | oh, one of the questions and I'm sorry for |
| 25 | going back on this, your Honor. |
| 26 | What is the time frame? When you |
| 27 | say "currently," is that like the last year? |
| 28 | I think it's point number 18 that 18 and |

| г | |
|----|---|
| 1 | number 19, you had indicated that you would |
| 2 | want to see the total review but for |
| 3 | Community Union, in terms of the expenses and |
| 4 | review. I had a question as to what would be |
| 5 | the time frame for that. |
| 6 | But, anyway, getting back to |
| 7 | answering your question I apologize for |
| 8 | that digression. But I wanted to say that |
| 9 | we've been Community Union has been under |
| 10 | tremendous financial strain, particularly |
| 11 | now. Our principle line of business is |
| 12 | working with schools, parents, showing |
| 13 | parents how to utilize technology. With |
| 14 | schools being closed now, our income |
| 15 | essentially went to zero. We have not been |
| 16 | able to afford an attorney in this matter and |
| 17 | have been seeking advice, pro bono advice as |
| 18 | we can get it. |
| 19 | But the two combined issues of the |
| 20 | extreme financial duress that we're currently |
| 21 | going under, as well as the COVID-19 |
| 22 | isolation orders by the Governor has not made |
| 23 | it easy for us to get Counsel and has made it |
| 24 | difficult for us to be timely and responsive. |
| 25 | And we have not at this point, have not |
| 26 | responded to the February 2020 data request. |
| 27 | And even if we were compelled to do so, right |
| 28 | now I don't even I mean, because we're |

| talking about five boxes of information, sign-in sheets, and applications and other receipts and data and information that would need to be copied. And that currently is just out of our financial ability. We just |
|--|
| receipts and data and information that would need to be copied. And that currently is |
| need to be copied. And that currently is |
| |
| just out of our financial ability. We just |
| |
| cannot do not have the means to do that |
| right now. |
| And the timing on the February 2020 |
| data request, although it was before the |
| COVID and the isolation orders, et cetera, |
| we, again, have not been in a financial |
| position to hire the legal representation |
| that is required to get an understanding of |
| the knees things to get an understanding of, |
| you know, these things. And so, you know, we |
| have not been able to respond in a timely |
| manner to the data requests. But it has not |
| been because of, you know, malicious intent |
| or just our flat-out refusal. It has been a |
| direct relation to the our financial |
| ability. And that's just, you know, the |
| bottom line. That's we just do not have |
| the financial capability of at this moment |
| at this very moment, if you were to say, |
| Judge Zhang, that "Larry," you know, "get |
| |
| them those copies tomorrow or we're going to |
| them those copies tomorrow or we're going to throw you in jail," or whatever, wouldn't be |
| |

| | May 4, 2020 28 |
|----|---|
| 1 | the financial means to make copies of five |
| 2 | gigantic boxes of information and then mail |
| 3 | all that information to CPED, Vanessa |
| 4 | Baldwin, and her team. |
| 5 | So those two issues it's not |
| 6 | again, I want to be very clear, it's not a |
| 7 | matter of an unwillingness to corporate. |
| 8 | It's been an inability due to the financial |
| 9 | dress and circumstances that we're currently |
| 10 | under right now |
| 11 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega |
| 12 | MR. ORTEGA: and I'll stop there. |
| 13 | ALJ ZHANG: you have had from July |
| 14 | of 2017 until today to produce those |
| 15 | documents. You were aware that CPED were |
| 16 | seeking those documents for almost three |
| 17 | years. That has nothing to do with the |
| 18 | current situation. |
| 19 | Ms. Baldwin, is there anything CPED |
| 20 | can offer that would help Community Union |
| 21 | either defer costs of printing the documents, |
| 22 | or is there another option? |
| 23 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. Vanessa |
| 24 | Baldwin with CPED. |
| 25 | We are amenable to receiving |
| 26 | electronic copies of the documents. I think |
| 27 | in the data request we do say it should be |
| 28 | organized in a certain way. But that would |
| | |

| Status | Conference |
|--------|------------|
| May 4, | 2020 |

| | May 4, 2020 29 |
|----|--|
| 1 | be acceptable to us. And that would avoid |
| 2 | the need for copying, which Mr. Ortega has |
| 3 | claimed it too burdensome. |
| 4 | ALJ ZHANG: I'm sorry. There is |
| 5 | landscaping outside. |
| 6 | Karly, can you hear me? |
| 7 | THE REPORTER: Yes. |
| 8 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Great. |
| 9 | Ms. Baldwin, how about in-camera |
| 10 | review? I'm not sure if you've discussed |
| 11 | that with your client. But perhaps there is |
| 12 | some kind of technology that would allow for |
| 13 | someone from CPED to sit with Mr. Ortega |
| 14 | through a WebEx meeting so that you can look |
| 15 | at the documents together? |
| 16 | MS. BALDWIN: Couldn't we if, you |
| 17 | know, the documents will be part of the |
| 18 | record and entered into the evidence, I do |
| 19 | hesitate on reviewing it via WebEx. It can |
| 20 | lead to possibly not getting the correct |
| 21 | information we need without the actual |
| 22 | physical whether it be electronic or paper |
| 23 | copy of the actual document. |
| 24 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. I am suggesting the |
| 25 | Webex as a preliminary step, and then after |
| 26 | the document that you identified can be |
| 27 | scanned and e-mailed. So there could be |
| 28 | multiple steps. |

| 1 | For example, a first step could be a |
|----|--|
| 2 | discussion about to the specific document. |
| 3 | The second step would be talking some time, |
| 4 | perhaps through Webex, where Community Union |
| 5 | could just simply show you certain documents |
| 6 | and you just say "Yes, specifically this |
| 7 | page. Scan it, please email." |
| 8 | These are just suggestions. Given |
| 9 | our timeline, given the current situation, I |
| 10 | am asking the parties to be creative as to |
| 11 | how they resolve their discovery disputes. |
| 12 | Ms. Baldwin, are you open to doing |
| 13 | that? |
| 14 | MS. BALDWIN: Your Honor, let me take |
| 15 | one minute, or so, to confer with my client. |
| 16 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Perhaps this is a |
| 17 | good time to take a break. |
| 18 | Karly, is a 10- to 15-minute break |
| 19 | right now appropriate? |
| 20 | THE REPORTER: That's perfectly fine. |
| 21 | Thank you, your Honor. |
| 22 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Let's take a break. |
| 23 | I'm going to put everyone on mute. We will |
| 24 | reconvene at 10:10. |
| 25 | Thank you. |
| 26 | (Recess taken.) |
| 27 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 28 | Ms. Baldwin, do you have a response |
| | |

| | Status Conference May 4, 2020 31 |
|----|--|
| 1 | from your client? |
| 2 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. |
| 3 | We have thought about how to further |
| 4 | accommodate Mr. Ortega's request, despite, |
| 5 | you know, since even the time of the audit, |
| 6 | Mr. Ortega has claimed there are voluminous |
| 7 | documents. And they have not yet been made |
| 8 | available, even to the auditors. |
| 9 | We are you know, reviewed the |
| 10 | data requests. And there's many of the |
| 11 | requests that don't require documents. It |
| 12 | requires Mr. Ortega to identify information |
| 13 | for us. And those are Questions 1, 2, 9, 10, |
| 14 | 11, in part, 13, and 15. And so, Mr. Ortega |
| 15 | can work on those answering those |
| 16 | questions without needing to provide copies |
| 17 | or scanning documents at this time. |
| 18 | In regards to |
| 19 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 20 | MS. BALDWIN: Oh, go ahead. |
| 21 | ALJ ZHANG: Go ahead, Ms. Baldwin. |
| 22 | MS. BALDWIN: Okay. |
| 23 | In regards to the questions that ask |
| 24 | for documents, you know, as much as the |
| 25 | circumstances that Mr. Ortega claims are |
| 26 | extremely burdensome today, again, we just |
| 27 | we are very, you know, frustrated on not |
| 28 | being able, for years, to receive the |

| • • |
|---|
| documents. |
| Mr. Ortega claims there are five |
| boxes, but he you know, it's unknown |
| whether all of those documents in those five |
| boxes are needed to answer the questions in |
| the data requests, which are all very |
| specific. And if there are ambiguities or |
| issues, Mr. Ortega should bring those up with |
| us, and ask clarifying questions. But, |
| otherwise, we believe the questions are very |
| specific. |
| And, you know, having scanned copies |
| is necessary for us, not only for document |
| authentication, but also to review by our |
| staff, as well as by our experts from the |
| State Controllers Office. |
| ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| MS. BALDWIN: So we can agree we can |
| agree to Webex. But that will not satisfy |
| Mr. Ortega's production under the data |
| request and/or any motion to compel, that is |
| if any is granted by your Honor, only because |
| it is not truly the production of the |
| document itself. But we are more than happy |
| to use Webex as an opportunity to answer any |
| questions Mr. Ortega has regarding the |
| request for information and request for |
| documents. In you know, I think you |
| |

| provided a good example of Mr. Ortega can |
|---|
| hold up a document and ask, for example, does |
| this "Is this responsive to Question 4," |
| and we can provide an answer. But we do not |
| want to concede or accept that the Webex will |
| satisfy the production of documents and are |
| concerned about Mr. Ortega's continued delay |
| in this proceeding to provide responsive |
| documents so that we can put on a full and |
| accurate case in this proceeding. |
| ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Do you have any |
| other comments? |
| MS. BALDWIN: Nothing further, your |
| Honor, on this matter. |
| ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| Mr. Ortega, the Commission's Rules |
| of Practice and Procedure, Rule 11.3(b) |
| requires you to respond to any Motion to |
| Compel Discovery. Your response was due on |
| Saturday, April the 4th. And because that's |
| a weekend, according to Rule 1.15, the |
| response was due the following business day, |
| Monday, April 6th, 2020. We did not receive |
| a response. Therefore, we're giving you |
| the opportunity to respond to the motion |
| today. You previously stated why you have |
| not answered CPED's data request. |
| Do you have any additional comments |
| |

| | Status Conference May 4, 2020 34 |
|----|--|
| 1 | before I rule on CPED's motion? |
| 2 | MR. ORTEGA: I do, your Honor. |
| 3 | I wasn't sure the numbering that |
| 4 | Ms. Baldwin had indicated numbers 1, 2, 9, |
| 5 | 13, and 15. Was that I didn't pick up on |
| 6 | what was the source of those elements or |
| 7 | those items. |
| 8 | ALJ ZHANG: Those are the questions |
| 9 | from CPED that |
| 10 | MR. ORTEGA: Oh, I see. |
| 11 | (Crosstalk.) |
| 12 | ALJ ZHANG: Those are questions that |
| 13 | are nearly identical to the 2017 Data |
| 14 | Request. You had three years to think about |
| 15 | these questions. |
| 16 | Mr. Ortega, do you have any other |
| 17 | comments before I rule? |
| 18 | MR. ORTEGA: I have I actually have |
| 19 | some questions, your Honor, before before |
| 20 | you before you did rule. |
| 21 | But I do want to offer that none of |
| 22 | the though the time has ran, as you state, |
| 23 | your Honor, that as long as from the first |
| 24 | time that the data request was submitted, I |
| 25 | would ask for leniency from the Court, your |
| 26 | Honor, in that we were not attempting in any |
| 27 | way to in a malicious manner to not |
| 28 | respond. We as I indicated, we thought we |

| Status | Conference |
|--------|------------|
| May 4, | 2020 |

| | May 4, 2020 35 |
|----|--|
| 1 | had responded in 2017. That was deficient. |
| 2 | We apologize for that. |
| 3 | And as far as the February 2020 |
| 4 | request, again, we were without Counsel. And |
| 5 | we had attempted, given the financial |
| 6 | struggles that we've been a part of this |
| 7 | whole time frame from the beginning of the |
| 8 | year until now which is, you know, extreme |
| 9 | now, our financial situation. And so we had |
| 10 | attempted to try to get clarity as to how to |
| 11 | proceed in responding. We actually had |
| 12 | understood, your Honor just as a matter of |
| 13 | explanation and not an excuse. |
| 14 | But we had understood that discovery |
| 15 | was going to be kind of the rule of the day |
| 16 | and that once we were in this proceeding, |
| 17 | that that would dictate how we could respond |
| 18 | to the data request. It was in no time |
| 19 | were we trying to do it in a malicious |
| 20 | manner. We were simply waiting for the |
| 21 | Court's decision to my understanding, from |
| 22 | a layman's standpoint for the Court's |
| 23 | decision as a matter of to dictate how |
| 24 | discovery would happen. And at that point, |
| 25 | we would be able to exchange the necessary |
| 26 | documents, et cetera. |
| 27 | The last point, your Honor, if I |
| 28 | could just say, is that those some items |

have been requested from CPED. Our case, 1 2 which is going to -- Community Union's case, 3 which is going to require those five boxes. I think Ms. Baldwin was correct in stating 4 5 that perhaps all of the information that's in 6 those five boxes may not be needed to answer 7 those questions that she had or that CPED is That is true. That could be 8 requesting. 9 true.

10 But those five boxes will go -- and 11 they need to be copied. CPED needs to be 12 Those five boxes will go a long way copied. 13 to presenting our case as it relates to the 14 contract being completed and, you know, 15 documenting in detail the number of people 16 that were participating, the number of hours 17 that they participated, you know, some over 18 3,300 applications, personal applications that were submitted by the students that we 19 20 had served during the contract period. So there's a lot of information that we intend 21 22 to provide.

I don't think that we're going to be given a fair hearing or proceeding in this matter if we are not able to copy that information. So from a financial standpoint, we're precluded from actually presenting the best case possible at this time. I'm not

| 1 | saying that this is going to be our permanent |
|----|---|
| 2 | situation. But the way that it is right now, |
| 3 | you know, we need at least another 90 days |
| 4 | before we can get to a spot where we think we |
| 5 | can be able to copy and produce all the |
| 6 | documentation that is needed to have to |
| 7 | have our side well-articulated and fairly |
| 8 | included in the record, your Honor. So I'll |
| 9 | stop there. |
| 10 | Thank you. |

ALJ ZHANG: I understand that this is a difficult time for all parties involved. It is not easy for CPED to travel. It's not easy for Mr. Ortega to make copies. It's not easy to put together the paperwork that is required in this case.

Even though these challenges are before us, this case has been ongoing since 2018. We are required by California statute to resolve this within a year. And we have extended the statutory deadline already. I am not going to extend the statutory deadline any further.

I think we will get to the schedule I think we will get to the schedule later on. But we need to finish this proceeding. And that means cooperating in discovery. I know that this is difficult without Counsel. And we are making our best

| 1 | attempts to accommodate Community Union. |
|----|---|
| 2 | And, Mr. Ortega, you heard Ms. |
| 3 | Baldwin describe the steps that CPED is |
| 4 | willing to take in order to make this process |
| 5 | easier for you. Whether all five boxes are |
| 6 | required for you for Community Union to |
| 7 | prove its case, that is up to you. Due |
| 8 | process requires CPED to have notice of all |
| 9 | of the information you intend to present at |
| 10 | the evidentiary hearings. |
| 11 | As such, I order Community Union to |
| 12 | respond to CPED's February 21st, 2020, data |
| 13 | request. The response will be in two stages: |
| 14 | On May 15th, Friday, Community Union |
| 15 | will answer each question in the |
| 16 | February 21st, 2020 data request. Each |
| 17 | question shall have an answer that addresses |
| 18 | the topic of the question. And if the answer |
| 19 | is available simply by writing the response, |
| 20 | then, Community Union will do that. If |
| 21 | additional documents are required for that |
| 22 | response, that would be the second stage. |
| 23 | So, Mr. Ortega, do you understand |
| 24 | that on May 15th, you are to submit a written |
| 25 | response to all the questions stated in the |
| 26 | February 21st, 2020 data request? |
| 27 | MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega. Yes, I do, |
| 28 | your Honor. |

| | Status ConferenceMay 4, 202039 |
|----|---|
| 1 | ALJ ZHANG: This does not require you |
| 2 | to spend any money on copies. |
| 3 | Do you understand? |
| 4 | MR. ORTEGA: I understand, your Honor. |
| 5 | Yes, I do. Larry Ortega. |
| 6 | ALJ ZHANG: So if there's a question |
| 7 | you don't understand, you may reach out to |
| 8 | Ms. Baldwin beforehand. And she might be |
| 9 | able to answer your question. If it is |
| 10 | information that you do not have, then you |
| 11 | simply state in the answer, "I don't have |
| 12 | this information." |
| 13 | Do you have any questions about the |
| 14 | first stage of response on May 15th? |
| 15 | MR. ORTEGA: I do not, your Honor. No |
| 16 | questions. |
| 17 | ALJ ZHANG: The second stage of the |
| 18 | response will involve you and CPED working |
| 19 | together to determine the best way to look |
| 20 | through the documents. |
| 21 | Ms. Baldwin, after you receive the |
| 22 | written answers on May 15th, please reach out |
| 23 | to Mr. Ortega to determine the best way to |
| 24 | organize and identify the document that CPED |
| 25 | is interested in. |
| 26 | Ms. Baldwin, could you do that? |
| 27 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. I just |
| 28 | want to clarify, when we say "The best way to |

| | May 4, 2020 40 |
|----|---|
| 1 | organize and provide," you know, we're open |
| 2 | and willing to have that conversation of, you |
| 3 | know, "Here are the accounting records we |
| 4 | asked for," and, hopefully, Mr. Ortega will |
| 5 | say, "Yes, I have those records. They are in |
| 6 | paper form." And so, you know, we can offer |
| 7 | "Well, can you provide those electronically?" |
| 8 | My concern is if Mr. Ortega cannot, for some |
| 9 | reason, what avenues do we have and what time |
| 10 | frame can this all, you know, take place in? |
| 11 | And then the other alternative |
| 12 | so, you know, hopefully, the documents can be |
| 13 | scanned without too much trouble. I guess |
| 14 | I'm having some a little bit of |
| 15 | uncertainty on why the documents can't be |
| 16 | provided electronically and scanned. I |
| 17 | mean |
| 18 | ALJ ZHANG: The point |
| 19 | MS. BALDWIN: I'm just hoping |
| 20 | (Crosstalk.) |
| 21 | ALJ ZHANG: The point of the two-stage |
| 22 | production is so that the amount of records |
| 23 | could be reduced and further specified. I |
| 24 | don't believe that every single sheet of |
| 25 | paper in five boxes is necessary for the |
| 26 | proceeding. If it is, then you can come back |
| 27 | and ask me what the next steps should be. |
| 28 | MS. BALDWIN: Understood, your Honor. |
| | |

| Status Conference May 4, 2020 41 |
|---|
| Thank you. |
| ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, I expect you to |
| fully cooperate with CPED in your |
| communications, in responding to the |
| questions. None of that costs any money. |
| MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega. Yes, your |
| Honor. That is my intent. |
| I and what means would we be able |
| to come back in front of you, should I |
| mean, because I know that the information I'm |
| intending to provide in order to tell the |
| story, a synopsis or summary could be |
| provided. But I'm not sure procedurally how |
| do we get back in front you via motion? |
| How would in terms of to determine how |
| much of the box is actually needed; right? |
| How much of the stuff of what is in those |
| five boxes is needed? Once we get to that |
| stage, how and I'm saying and we |
| determine that Community Union determines |
| that it's going to be in our best interest to |
| provide the whole thing in this case, how do |
| we get back in front of you? Procedurally, |
| how do we do that? |
| I mean, it sounds like you're |
| opening the door, your Honor, to crossing |
| that bring when we get there. But how would |
| we make that happen? |
| |

| May 4, 2020 42 |
|---|
| ALJ ZHANG: So, agenda number four is |
| about the schedule. And we will get to that |
| after we finish agenda number three, which is |
| where we are. Excuse me. Agenda number five |
| is regarding the schedule. And agenda number |
| four is regarding the witnesses. So we will |
| discuss that in a moment. |
| MS. BALDWIN: Your Honor, Vanessa |
| Baldwin. If I may add one point? |
| ALJ ZHANG: Yes, please. Go ahead. |
| MS. BALDWIN: Thank you, your Honor. |
| We are concerned as Mr. Ortega notes |
| the five boxes and potentially the five boxes |
| being necessary for his case and/or, perhaps, |
| responsive to the data requests, I want to |
| caution that a response to the data request |
| in the nature of this "These documents can |
| be found in any of the five boxes I |
| referenced during their case management |
| conference" is not an adequate answer from |
| our perspective. Those five boxes and those |
| documents need to be reviewed by Mr. Ortega |
| in advance to providing answers to the data |
| request so that he can specifically identify |
| which documents are responsive to which |
| questions, rather than blanketly referring to |
| those five boxes which he has referred to |
| for years since the auditors have asked for |
| |

| | May 4, 2020 45 |
|----|---|
| 1 | documents from Mr. Ortega. |
| 2 | And so my concern is, there needs to |
| 3 | be specificity and actually identified |
| 4 | documents when Mr. Ortega responds to data |
| 5 | request questions. |
| 6 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, do you |
| 7 | understand what CPED just described, as far |
| 8 | as the answers that they expect on May 15th? |
| 9 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes, of course. Larry |
| 10 | Ortega. Yes. |
| 11 | ALJ ZHANG: Ms. Baldwin, I believe Mr. |
| 12 | Ortega stated that he understands what CPED |
| 13 | is requesting. And he intends to answer the |
| 14 | questions with specificity and identifying |
| 15 | supporting information from his records. |
| 16 | MS. BALDWIN: Thank you. |
| 17 | ALJ ZHANG: Following Mr. Ortega's |
| 18 | answers on May 15th, the parties will |
| 19 | communicate regarding which documents should |
| 20 | be scanned and provided to CPED. I will |
| 21 | leave that up to the parties to determine the |
| 22 | best way to do it, phone calls, Webex. And I |
| 23 | hope CPED is considerate of Mr. Ortega's |
| 24 | position of being a pro se litigant and |
| 25 | currently under financial challenges. |
| 26 | If there are further issues |
| 27 | regarding the February 21st, 2020 data |
| 28 | request, the parties will file a motion |
| | |

Status Conference 44 May 4, 2020 stating what is sufficient in the answer to 1 2 CPED. Are there any comments from CPED 3 before I move on to Agenda Item Number 4? 4 5 MS. BALDWIN: No, your Honor. Thank you. 6 7 ALJ ZHANG: Are there any comments from Community Union before I move on to Agenda 8 Number 4? 9 10 Larry Ortega. Yes, your MR. ORTEGA: 11 Honor. I'm not sure if this is the 12 appropriate time, so I apologize. 13 But I did want to -- and you did 14 mention this in your ruling in denying my 15 request for rescheduling of today's case 16 management conference. You did mention the question that I'm going to be bringing up 17 18 right now. But I just -- for the record, I 19 wanted the record to reflect that it is 20 Community Union's intent to protest the 21 settlement agreement by and between CPED and 22 Korean Churches for Community Development, 23 the fiscal agents in this matter. 24 And I'm not sure if that needed to 25 be discussed or presented in the previous 26 cross-examination relative to evidentiary cross-examination. So -- I mean, those are 27 2.8 my only comments, your Honor. Sorry.

| | Tay 1, 2020 15 |
|----|---|
| 1 | ALJ ZHANG: We will get to your motion, |
| 2 | Mr. Ortega, when you file the motion opposing |
| 3 | the proposed settlement. Are you stating |
| 4 | that you are requesting the Court to address |
| 5 | the issue of Korean Churches Community |
| 6 | Development right now? |
| 7 | MR. ORTEGA: No, your Honor. No, your |
| 8 | Honor. Larry Ortega. No, your Honor. I'm |
| 9 | not. I just I felt compelled to bring |
| 10 | that up right now. Sorry. |
| 11 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. You must file a |
| 12 | motion with supporting documents in order for |
| 13 | the Commission to address the issues. The |
| 14 | email is inadequate. You cannot email and |
| 15 | attach documents asking the Court to do |
| 16 | something. |
| 17 | MR. ORTEGA: I understand, your Honor. |
| 18 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, when you file |
| 19 | the motion, we will address it. And we will |
| 20 | also address any relevant issue that involves |
| 21 | the evidentiary hearing, that involve |
| 22 | exhibits, that involve witnesses. So I |
| 23 | assure you that we will address it. But you |
| 24 | must file the motion. |
| 25 | MR. ORTEGA: Understood. |
| 26 | ALJ ZHANG: So, moving on to agenda |
| 27 | Item Number 4 regarding witnesses. |
| 28 | CPED named the following people as |
| | |

Status Conference May 4, 2020 46 1 witnesses in its case management statement. 2 There are four people. Brian Hom, who is a regulatory 3 4 analyst for CPED. His last name is spelled 5 H-o-m. 6 Chris Prassad, last name spelled 7 P-r-a-s-s-a-d, who is a former Audit Manager at the State Agency Audits Bureau of the 8 9 Office of State Controllers Division of 10 Audits. Mr. Prassad is currently at 11 California Department of Justice. 12 Andrew Finlayson, spelled 13 F-i-n-l-a-y-s-o-n, at the Bureau Chiefs of 14 the Office of State Controller Division of 15 Audits. 16 Lastly, Rob Osborn, O-s-b-o-r-n, Director of Communications Division. 17 18 Counsel for CPED, will all of these 19 people be available for cross-examination at 20 the evidentiary hearing? 21 MS. BALDWIN: Your Honor, Vanessa 22 Baldwin for CPED. That is correct. As well as two other witnesses from the 23 24 Communication's Division that you have not 25 listed that I can provide right now. 26 ALJ ZHANG: Okay. 27 The first is Devla Singh. MS. BALDWIN: 2.8 Her name is spelled D-e-v-l-a, last name,

Status Conference 47 May 4, 2020 1 S-i-n-q-h. 2 The second is Selena Huang. First name, S-E-L-E-N-A. Last name, H-u-a-n-g. 3 ALJ ZHANG: Okay. 4 Mr. Ortega, before I go on to your 5 6 list of witnesses, do you have any comments 7 regarding CPED's witnesses? I do not, your Honor. 8 MR. ORTEGA: 9 ALJ ZHANG: I find that the witnesses 10 identified by Consumer Protection and Enforcement Division to be relevant to this 11 12 proceeding. They shall be available at the 13 evidentiary hearing for cross-examination by 14 Community Union. 15 Next, Community Union named the 16 following people in his case management 17 statement: 18 Mr. Robert Wullenjohn, 19 W-u-l-l-e-n-j-o-h-n. 20 Ryan Dulin, D-u-l-i-n. 21 Andrew Finlayson, same as the person 22 identified by CPED. 23 Chris Prassad, also identified by 24 CPED. 25 Brian Hom, also identified by CPED. Jeanette Lo. 26 27 Hyepin Im. Last name is spelled 2.8 T-m.

| | Status Conference May 4, 2020 48 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Neri Rivas, R-i-v-a-s. |
| 2 | Debra Janes, J-a-n-e-s. |
| 3 | Ron Vera, V-e-r-a. |
| 4 | Nathan Arias, A-r-i-a-s. |
| 5 | And Jane Does Number 1 through 10, |
| 6 | graduating parents or students of the One |
| 7 | Million New Internet Users Training Program. |
| 8 | Because Rob Osborn will be available |
| 9 | for cross-examination, Mr. Osborn represents |
| 10 | the institutional knowledge of the |
| 11 | Communication's Division. Therefore, Robert |
| 12 | Wullenjohn and Ryan Dulin do not have to be |
| 13 | present, as they would be cumulative |
| 14 | witnesses. Andrew Finlayson, Chris Prassad, |
| 15 | and Brian Hom are already available. |
| 16 | Regarding Jeanette Lo, Mr. Ortega, |
| 17 | please explain why she is necessary for your |
| 18 | proceeding or your case. |
| 19 | MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega. |
| 20 | I as I had indicated in my |
| 21 | response to this case management conference, |
| 22 | there has been dependent upon who which |
| 23 | party you talk to, there's different versions |
| 24 | of the stories that continue to come out. |
| 25 | And I wanted to align Mr. Hom's his |
| 26 | testimony against Ms. Lo's testimony, in that |
| 27 | I believe that there will be contradictory |
| 28 | testimony. And, by that, allowing me to |

| , | |
|----|---|
| 1 | impeach either one of them or both of them as |
| 2 | it relates to their understanding of the |
| 3 | contract and, specifically, on activity five |
| 4 | where the contention is that the 40 hours' |
| 5 | worth of training represents the entirety of |
| 6 | the contract. |
| 7 | I believe that in hearing both of |
| 8 | them, your Honor, you will see that they |
| 9 | themselves are either not able to articulate |
| 10 | what the interpretation of that contract is |
| 11 | or that their commentary will be |
| 12 | contradictory in some form. So that will go |
| 13 | to it's a matter of presenting my case in |
| 14 | that manner, your Honor. |
| 15 | ALJ ZHANG: And, Ms. Baldwin, do you |
| 16 | have any comments from CPED? |
| 17 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. Vanessa |
| 18 | Baldwin with CPED. |
| 19 | We do believe it is duplicative to |
| 20 | include Ms. Lo in addition to Brian. Brian |
| 21 | is a CPED witness and has provided testimony |
| 22 | in the form of his staff report on behalf of |
| 23 | CPED already. And so we don't see |
| 24 | Jeannette's Ms. Lo's testimony as |
| 25 | necessary to the extent that Mr. Ortega wants |
| 26 | to call Ms. Lo, that is fine. But, you know, |
| 27 | she doesn't have the personal knowledge to |
| 28 | the same extent that Mr. Hom does, who is the |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 50 |
|----|---|
| 1 | author and the witness for the staff report |
| 2 | for CPED's testimony. |
| 3 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, I am not going |
| 4 | to request Ms. Lo to be present at the |
| 5 | evidentiary hearing at this time. If you |
| 6 | believe after receiving the testimony, which |
| 7 | we will discuss as the next agenda item, we |
| 8 | will we can revisit that. But as CPED |
| 9 | just stated, Mr. Hom is the witness with the |
| 10 | knowledge who is involved in this case. |
| 11 | Also, Commission resources does not |
| 12 | lend itself to having duplicative witnesses |
| 13 | present when it is not immediately clear why |
| 14 | Ms. Lo would be helpful. We could revisit |
| 15 | this issue later on, if you wish. |
| 16 | Regarding the remaining people |
| 17 | identified in your case management statement, |
| 18 | when you submit Direct Testimony, and you |
| 19 | choose to attach affidavits from people who |
| 20 | support your description of your version of |
| 21 | events, those people must be available for |
| 22 | cross-examination in order to meet the due |
| 23 | process requirements of trial. |
| 24 | Do you know if Hyepin Im, Neri |
| 25 | Rivas, Debra Janes, Ron Vera, Nathan Arias, |
| 26 | are going to be available for |
| 27 | cross-examination? |
| 28 | MR. ORTEGA: Under your Honor, Larry |

50

| | May 4, 2020 51 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Ortega. |
| 2 | Under what timeframe are we talking |
| 3 | about? And what okay. So a couple |
| 4 | requests for points of clarification. As a |
| 5 | matter of being available for |
| 6 | cross-examination, is that something that can |
| 7 | happen over the telephone? Or is that |
| 8 | somewhere that someone has to actually show |
| 9 | up in a court of law to be made available |
| 10 | that way? |
| 11 | ALJ ZHANG: We have allowed witnesses |
| 12 | to present via Webex because of the Corona |
| 13 | Virus 19. Usually, we would not. And when |
| 14 | we talk about to the schedule next, we will |
| 15 | talk about the time frame. |
| 16 | But, right now, regarding the |
| 17 | witnesses that you have named, I am |
| 18 | emphasizing that those witnesses, if you |
| 19 | request support supporting affidavits from |
| 20 | the witnesses to add to your case, due |
| 21 | process requires that you make them available |
| 22 | for cross-examination at the evidentiary |
| 23 | hearing. That is why we're talking about it |
| 24 | during this agenda item. And I understand |
| 25 | you have other questions regarding the |
| 26 | schedule. |
| 27 | But, right now, do you understand |

But, right now, do you understandthat when you provide statements from certain

| | Status ConferenceMay 4, 202052 |
|----|--|
| 1 | witnesses, that CPED is entitled to |
| 2 | cross-examination them? |
| 3 | MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega, your Honor. |
| 4 | Yes, I do understand that. |
| 5 | ALJ ZHANG: And, vice versa, you are |
| 6 | entitled cross-examine the witnesses that |
| 7 | sponsor exhibits for CPED; for example, |
| 8 | Mr. Hom. |
| 9 | Is that clear to you? |
| 10 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes, your Honor. Larry |
| 11 | Ortega. Yes, it is. |
| 12 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. So, we will talk |
| 13 | about your direct testimony in which you |
| 14 | might have supporting statements from any of |
| 15 | the witness that you have named. At this |
| 16 | time, I don't believe there's any reason to |
| 17 | object to the other witnesses that you have |
| 18 | named. |
| 19 | Ms. Baldwin, does your client have |
| 20 | any objections to the other witnesses that |
| 21 | Community Union has named? |
| 22 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. Vanessa |
| 23 | Baldwin with CPED. |
| 24 | Mainly, our the basis of our |
| 25 | objection is because we're unclear on the |
| 26 | purpose and the testimony for these |
| 27 | witnesses. And it sounds like we'll be |
| 28 | discussing that. But it would be important |

to have an idea in a summary of what each 1 witness is expected to testify to and what 2 3 they have personal knowledge of, as well as identification of those witnesses. 4 Because right now number 12 identifies 10 Jane Does 5 6 that we don't have, you know, names for, we 7 can't contact them in advance to do any discovery. And similar to some of the other 8 9 names, like Mr. Ron Vera, we don't have any 10 knowledge of him, of his participation thus 11 far, or what his personal knowledge is of 12 this matter, let alone, you know, his contact 13 information. 14 ALJ ZHANG: I think Mr. Ortega provided 15 some descriptions in his case management 16 That would be a starting point statement. 17 for CPED to gain knowledge as to who these 18 people are. Regarding the Jane Does, I think 19 the Jane Does would need to be identified as 20 soon as possible so that CPED could do 21 discovery if necessary.

And, Mr. Ortega, when we discuss the testimony, you must provide the contact information of your witnesses. And that will be the next topic.

26 Does CPED have any other comments? 27 MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. Just to 28 clarify -- Vanessa Baldwin with CPED.

| We did review the case management |
|---|
| statement from Mr. Ortega. And just to add |
| to that, Neri Rivas and Debra Janes, who were |
| both identified by Mr. Ortega, as well as Ron |
| Vera, are not discussed in the case |
| management statement in particular. So it |
| would be helpful to get Mr. Ortega's even |
| a short summary of why they are a relevant |
| witness, for instance. In addition, |
| actually, Nathan Arias is also not |
| specifically identified as what the purpose |
| of his testimony would be for at this time. |
| ALJ ZHANG: Are you suggesting that he |
| provide the information before he submits his |
| Direct Testimony? |
| MS. BALDWIN: I leave that up to the |
| Judge. But it would be helpful to |
| understanding the purpose of the these |
| witnesses, as well as our ability to continue |
| or even start discovery, if we choose to do |
| so, by inquiring Neri, Debra, and Ron, the |
| witnesses they are calling, in advance to |
| understand their knowledge further. And so |
| it would be helpful for our discovery process |
| to have even a short amount of information of |
| why he deemed these people relevant and what |
| personal knowledge they have |
| ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| |

| | Status ConferenceMay 4, 202055 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. BALDWIN: in advance. Sorry. |
| 2 | ALJ ZHANG: I have listed Hyepin Im, |
| 3 | Debra Janes, Neri Rivas, Ron Vera, Nathan |
| 4 | Arias, and Jane Does. |
| 5 | I believe CPED already knows who |
| 6 | Hyepin Im is; is that correct? |
| 7 | MS. BALDWIN: That is correct. |
| 8 | ALJ ZHANG: Do you need a summary from |
| 9 | Hyepin Im? |
| 10 | MS. BALDWIN: No, we do not. |
| 11 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 12 | Mr. Ortega, could you provide |
| 13 | additional information on Ms. Neri Rivas, |
| 14 | Debra Janes, Ron Vera, Nathan Arias, and the |
| 15 | Jane Does? |
| 16 | MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega. Yes, your |
| 17 | Honor, I can. And I would, also your |
| 18 | Honor, the the matter part of the case |
| 19 | part of this investigation, rather, a lot |
| 20 | of it is for our defense and our explanation |
| 21 | as to what has actually transpired, as a lot |
| 22 | of it has to deal with the integrity of some |
| 23 | of these individuals. And although I |
| 24 | understand it is the Court's order that |
| 25 | Mr. Osborn would represent the Communications |
| 26 | Division's knowledge, it will not it does |
| 27 | not allow for Community Union to lay before |
| 28 | the Court the various interpretations of the |

| r | |
|----|---|
| 1 | contract and the processes by which the |
| 2 | auditor says that they did not receive |
| 3 | information or by which how the auditor says |
| 4 | that they came to the discovery of the |
| 5 | 40 hours issue, et cetera. |
| 6 | So it is important to show that |
| 7 | there's inconsistency within the |
| 8 | Communications Division as it relates to how |
| 9 | they were managing the One Million NIU |
| 10 | contract and that it was inconsistent. And |
| 11 | that is part of the reason why perhaps some |
| 12 | of the documentation may have been lost or |
| 13 | was thought to have never been received. |
| 14 | And so, in saying that, your Honor, |
| 15 | there are three other witnesses. Again, they |
| 16 | come from Communications Division that are |
| 17 | not listed on the Case Management summary |
| 18 | that we had provided. But we would like them |
| 19 | to be considered to be added on the basis |
| 20 | that the integrity of the testimony from the |
| 21 | particular entity, in this case, |
| 22 | Communications Division is necessary to know |
| 23 | how each of these individuals how their |
| 24 | testimony relates to contract interpretation, |
| 25 | whether or not they've received documents or |
| 26 | not, what the, you know, guidance really that |
| 27 | they provided during this time frame. |
| 28 | So there's three other witnesses |

| | , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , |
|----|---|
| 1 | that I would love that I would like to be |
| 2 | a part of it. But they are from the |
| 3 | Communications Division. And those people |
| 4 | are in addition, that since CPED had added |
| 5 | Devla Singh, Devla Singh was the last grant |
| 6 | assistant that we had from the Communications |
| 7 | Division. She was the last one. So she saw |
| 8 | the information at the end. |
| 9 | There are three others that were in |
| 10 | front of her that had that were identified |
| 11 | as our assistants during the management of |
| 12 | this contract whose testimony would be |
| 13 | relevant as it relates to how information was |
| 14 | being asked for. So in the case of |
| 15 | there's these three people, if I can provide |
| 16 | the names. And I'll provide the spelling in |
| 17 | a minute. |
| 18 | But, Angel Asham, Zeniada Conway, |
| 19 | and Penney Legakis, those three individuals |
| 20 | were our grant managers. One of the grant |
| 21 | managers we had over the period of the grant |
| 22 | contract. And each one had their own |
| 23 | particular set of rules that they wanted us |
| 24 | to follow and set of documentation that they |
| 25 | wanted us to submit. |
| 26 | And although Mr. Osborn has been |
| | |

27 designated as the representative, I don't 28 think he's going to have the particulars as

| 1 | it relates to how, you know, Ms. Legakis and |
|----|---|
| 2 | Ms. Conway and Ms. Asham had understood their |
| 3 | own, kind of, manner in collecting |
| 4 | information. So I would ask the Court to |
| 5 | allow for these three additional witnesses to |
| 6 | be questioned on that basis. |
| 7 | And I'll stop there, your Honor. |
| 8 | THE REPORTER: Can I please get the |
| 9 | spelling for those three people? |
| 10 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes. To the best of my |
| 11 | knowledge I don't have Angel's spelling, |
| 12 | her last name. But Angel is spelled |
| 13 | A-n-g-e-l. And then Asham is A-s-h-a-m. |
| 14 | And then the next person is Zeniada |
| 15 | Conway, Z-, as in "Zebra," e-n-i-a-d-a, |
| 16 | Conway, C-o-n-w-a-y. |
| 17 | And the last one is Penney Legakis. |
| 18 | First name Penney, P-e-n-n-e-y, last name |
| 19 | Legakis, L-e-g-a-k-i-s. |
| 20 | THE REPORTER: Thank you. |
| 21 | (Telephone line silence.) |
| 22 | THE REPORTER: Hello? |
| 23 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes. |
| 24 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes. |
| 25 | THE REPORTER: Okay. Everything cut |
| 26 | out. Did I miss something, Judge? |
| 27 | ALJ ZHANG: Yes. I think I |
| 28 | accidentally muted myself. |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 59 |
|----|---|
| 1 | THE REPORTER: No problem. |
| 2 | ALJ ZHANG: I wanted to address the |
| 3 | list of witnesses. CPED is now on notice |
| 4 | that Community Union may wish to |
| 5 | cross-examine the three witnesses that he |
| 6 | just identified. But we will address these |
| 7 | three witnesses later on. |
| 8 | Right now, I want to make sure that |
| 9 | Mr. Ortega will give to CPED a description of |
| 10 | Neri Rivas, Debra Janes, Ron Vera, Nathan |
| 11 | Arias, and the Jane Does. |
| 12 | Mr. Ortega, do you know when you |
| 13 | would be able to provide the additional |
| 14 | information to CPED? |
| 15 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes. Probably, if we can |
| 16 | go with the same Larry Ortega. If we can |
| 17 | go with the same deadline of Wednesday at |
| 18 | noon, I think I'll be able to meet that |
| 19 | deadline, your Honor. |
| 20 | Thank you. |
| 21 | ALJ ZHANG: That would be Wednesday, |
| 22 | May 6th, at noon. Okay. |
| 23 | MS. BALDWIN: Your Honor, Vanessa |
| 24 | Baldwin. If I could just say one quick |
| 25 | question? |
| 26 | ALJ ZHANG: Yes. |
| 27 | MS. BALDWIN: Thank you. Vanessa |
| 28 | Baldwin, CPED. |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 60 |
|----|---|
| 1 | I just wanted to clarify, is it also |
| 2 | possible for Mr. Ortega to provide the |
| 3 | contact information for these folks? I do |
| 4 | have Nathan's. But I don't have the other |
| 5 | contact information for the other names that |
| 6 | he has listed. |
| 7 | ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, please provide |
| 8 | the contact information of your witnesses. |
| 9 | MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega. Understood, |
| 10 | your Honor. |
| 11 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. We are now moving on |
| 12 | to the schedule. |
| 13 | As part of the schedule, the parties |
| 14 | are to submit written testimony. And this is |
| 15 | where we will discuss the most of Community |
| 16 | Union's questions about witnesses and |
| 17 | documentation. Usually, written testimony |
| 18 | involves a formal narrative of a party |
| 19 | statement of the case. Attached to the |
| 20 | formal narrative are often affidavits at a |
| 21 | live person's evidentiary hearing. |
| 22 | Because the direct written testimony |
| 23 | has already been submitted and made known to |
| 24 | the parties, the evidentiary hearings will |
| 25 | only involve cross-examination. That's why |
| 26 | it's important that the opening testimony |
| 27 | include the witnesses who are supporting that |
| 28 | testimony and those witnesses are identified, |
| | |

60

| | May 4, 2020 61 |
|----|---|
| 1 | so that the opposing party has an opportunity |
| 2 | to reflect the testimony and also request to |
| 3 | cross-examine them at the live person's |
| 4 | hearing. |
| 5 | Mr. Ortega, I understand that your |
| 6 | organization is pro se and there are |
| 7 | financial constraints and these formalities |
| 8 | can be confusing. I am not requiring you to |
| 9 | submit a formal testimony. It is adequate if |
| 10 | you can set forth a narrative of your case. |
| 11 | And the statement of the case can be |
| 12 | supported by a signed declaration under |
| 13 | penalty of perjury by you as the President of |
| 14 | Community Union. |
| 15 | There can also be letters in support |
| 16 | of your case from other entities, as long as |
| 17 | that support is accompanied by a singed |
| 18 | declaration under penalty of perjury. The |
| 19 | other people or entities who submit |
| 20 | supporting statements must be available for |
| 21 | cross-examination if CPED requests. |
| 22 | In short, Community Union, in place |
| 23 | of direct testimony, may submit a narrative |
| 24 | statement. And a narrative statement would |
| 25 | be titled "Declaration of Larry Ortega for |
| 26 | Community Union." And then at the end of the |
| 27 | narrative statement, it must state "I declare |
| 28 | under penalty of perjury that the forgoing is |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 62 |
|----|---|
| 1 | true and correct." The next line will state |
| 2 | "executed on X date," your location, and your |
| 3 | signature. |
| 4 | Mr. Ortega, do you understand those |
| 5 | instructions for your statement of the case? |
| 6 | MR. ORTEGA: I do, your Honor. Larry |
| 7 | Ortega, I do. |
| 8 | ALJ ZHANG: Do you have any questions |
| 9 | regarding what that would look like for you |
| 10 | to prepare? |
| 11 | MR. ORTEGA: At this Larry Ortega. |
| 12 | At this time, your Honor, I do not. |
| 13 | But I'm going to need time to digest. But I |
| 14 | think I got it I think I got what you had |
| 15 | indicated. So I should be okay. |
| 16 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Well, there are |
| 17 | three requirements. |
| 18 | First, that your narrative be titled |
| 19 | "Declaration of Larry Ortega for Community |
| 20 | Union." The second requirement is, "I |
| 21 | declare under penalty of perjury that the |
| 22 | foregoing is true and correct." So that |
| 23 | statement must be in the narrative statement. |
| 24 | And then the third requirement is a line that |
| 25 | states, "Executed on 'blank date'," your |
| 26 | location, and your signature. |
| 27 | You can write your statement of the |
| 28 | case. And then follow it by an attestation |

| | Status ConferenceMay 4, 202063 |
|----|---|
| 1 | that you declare under the penalty of perjury |
| 2 | that everything stated is true and correct. |
| 3 | MR. ORTEGA: Larry Ortega. I |
| 4 | understand. Thank you, your Honor. Sorry. |
| 5 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 6 | I think you intend on having |
| 7 | witnesses that support your statement of the |
| 8 | case. When they submit something in writing, |
| 9 | you have to attach it to your narrative. And |
| 10 | they also have to have an attestation at the |
| 11 | end that states that everything is true and |
| 12 | correct under the penalty of perjury, along |
| 13 | with their signature. |
| 14 | Do you understand? |
| 15 | MR. ORTEGA: I understand, your Honor. |
| 16 | ALJ ZHANG: So those should be |
| 17 | submitted together, the Community Union's |
| 18 | narrative statement of the case with |
| 19 | supporting statements. |
| 20 | Mr. Ortega, do you understand? |
| 21 | MR. ORTEGA: I do understand, your |
| 22 | Honor. |
| 23 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 24 | Ms. Baldwin, do you have any |
| 25 | comments regarding my instructions just now? |
| 26 | MS. BALDWIN: Vanessa Baldwin with |
| 27 | CPED. |
| 28 | I do not. I do have a comment |

| | May 4, 2020 64 |
|----|--|
| 1 | regarding the schedule. But I will reserve |
| 2 | that for when it's appropriate. |
| 3 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. I wanted to discuss |
| 4 | the testimony and how to make the process |
| 5 | easier for Community Union before I got into |
| 6 | the schedule. So now we are ready to go over |
| 7 | the schedule. I provided the schedule to the |
| 8 | parties before this Case Management |
| 9 | Conference. And it is as follows: |
| 10 | The joint stipulated facts is due on |
| 11 | May 19th, 2020. |
| 12 | Community Union's opening testimony |
| 13 | served will be due on May 19th, 2020. And |
| 14 | this is going to be Community Union's |
| 15 | narrative statement with whatever supporting |
| 16 | documents and affidavits that Mr. Ortega |
| 17 | wishes to attach. |
| 18 | Next, consumer protection and |
| 19 | Enforcement Division's reply testimony will |
| 20 | be served on June 2nd. |
| 21 | And then Community Union will have |
| 22 | another opportunity to submit a narrative. |
| 23 | And this one will be the rebuttal. The |
| 24 | rebuttal will be due on June 16th. The |
| 25 | discovery cutoff will also be June 16th. |
| 26 | The hearing for cross-examination of |
| 27 | witnesses will occur on June 24th, 25th, and |
| 28 | 26th. Right now, it's scheduled for a |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 00 |
|----|---|
| 1 | courtroom in LA. I don't anticipate that to |
| 2 | change. But given the current state of |
| 3 | remote hearings, it is possible that if the |
| 4 | governor does not lift the stay-at-home |
| 5 | orders, then well proceed with a remote |
| 6 | hearing. |
| 7 | Concurrent opening briefs are due on |
| 8 | July 8th. The concurrent reply briefs is due |
| 9 | on July 22nd. These two items are relevant |
| 10 | to Mr. Ortega's previous question about when |
| 11 | you can present arguments. |
| 12 | After the evidentiary hearings and |
| 13 | all the evidence has been known to both |
| 14 | parties, the briefs is where the arguments |
| 15 | are made, including factual arguments and |
| 16 | legal arguments. |
| 17 | Ms. Baldwin, do you have any |
| 18 | comments regarding the schedule? |
| 19 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. Vanessa |
| 20 | Baldwin with CPED. |
| 21 | We do regarding the first |
| 22 | deadline, I'll start with from the top of the |
| 23 | schedule and work downwards. Regarding the |
| 24 | first deadline for joint stipulated facts by |
| 25 | May 15th. We are concerned about being able |
| 26 | to work with Mr. Ortega to develop those |
| 27 | stipulated facts by that date, and was |
| 28 | seeking from the Judge any guidance on or, |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 60 |
|----|---|
| 1 | perhaps, deadlines for each party to produce |
| 2 | what they believe are the facts that are |
| 3 | notice disputed by May 19th. We're just |
| 4 | concerned about being able to collaborate and |
| 5 | develop that by then. I |
| 6 | ALJ ZHANG: I think if there aren't any |
| 7 | joint stipulated facts by May 19th, I I |
| 8 | don't see how extending the time would help |
| 9 | develop more stipulated facts. |
| 10 | MS. BALDWIN: I agree. But oh, go |
| 11 | ahead. Sorry. |
| 12 | (Crosstalk.) |
| 13 | ALJ ZHANG: Why don't CPED, after |
| 14 | reviewing Mr. Ortega's response on May 15th, |
| 15 | send me an update as to whether you believe |
| 16 | there will be stipulated facts. If there |
| 17 | aren't any, then we don't need to change the |
| 18 | schedule. |
| 19 | MS. BALDWIN: That's understandable. |
| 20 | Thank you. |
| 21 | (Crosstalk.) |
| 22 | MS. BALDWIN: Oh, go ahead. |
| 23 | ALJ ZHANG: Is May 18th okay for CPED |
| 24 | to update me as to whether there will be |
| 25 | stipulated facts? |
| 26 | MS. BALDWIN: We will do our best to |
| 27 | meet that date. And if not, let you know |
| 28 | what our progress is. |
| | |

| | Status Conference May 4, 2020 67 |
|----|---|
| 1 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. |
| 2 | Mr. Ortega, do you understand that |
| 3 | for the joint stipulated facts, CPED needs |
| 4 | your responses by May 15th? |
| 5 | MR. ORTEGA: Your Honor Larry |
| 6 | Ortega, your Honor. |
| 7 | I was wondering I was wondering |
| 8 | if it would be possible for CPED, Ms. |
| 9 | Baldwin, to provide an example of what these |
| 10 | joint stipulated like, what is her what |
| 11 | would be an example of an objective that she |
| 12 | would want to get at, as far as what the |
| 13 | joint stipulated facts are? What is an |
| 14 | example of that for her? |
| 15 | ALJ ZHANG: I can give you an example |
| 16 | of a stipulated fact. In proceedings before |
| 17 | the Commission, we often have utilities. |
| 18 | Sometimes a stipulated fact is, "PG&E |
| 19 | provides electric services to customers." |
| 20 | Sometimes a stipulated fact is, "The outage |
| 21 | happened on January 1st, 2018." |
| 22 | Both parties must agree to the words |
| 23 | that are entered into the joint stipulated |
| 24 | facts. Sometimes, it's simple. Sometimes, |
| 25 | it's complicated. Sometimes the parties do |
| 26 | not agree to any facts, and they don't submit |
| 27 | a list of joint stipulated facts. |
| 28 | Mr. Ortega, do you need any other |

Status Conference 68 May 4, 2020 1 examples? Thank you, your Honor. 2 MR. ORTEGA: That suffices. Thank you. 3 Ms. Baldwin, do you have 4 ALJ ZHANG: 5 any other comments regarding the schedule? 6 MS. BALDWIN: Thank you, your Honor. 7 Vanessa Baldwin with CPED. CPED does seek to supplement its 8 9 testimony or staff report. And it is -- that 10 information relies on data request 11 information we are now in the process of 12 gathering and analyzing and that we have been for several weeks. And we seek to request 13 14 that the schedule be modified so that we can 15 present that supplemental testimony in the 16 form of a staff report before defendant's 17 file their opening testimony. 18 ALJ ZHANG: When do you anticipate the 19 supplemental staff report being ready? The earliest we can do so 20 MS. BALDWIN: 21 is by June 1st, if possible. 22 ALJ ZHANG: If I move the schedule 23 based on the supplemental staff report, then 24 that means there's only approximately three 25 and a half weeks before trial. 26 Is CPED okay with that? 27 That means all the other activities have to be squeezed into those three weeks. 2.8

| | May 4, 2020 69 |
|----|---|
| 1 | MS. BALDWIN: This information is |
| 2 | necessary for our case in chief. We can |
| 3 | submit it at a later date. But that will not |
| 4 | give well, we can submit it at a later |
| 5 | date and then defendants will be able to |
| 6 | respond to that information in their rebuttal |
| 7 | testimony on June 16th. |
| 8 | ALJ ZHANG: I thought your request was |
| 9 | to submit the supplemental staff report |
| 10 | before Community Union filed opening |
| 11 | testimony? |
| 12 | MS. BALDWIN: Correct. I think that |
| 13 | probably would be the most ideal way. And |
| 14 | that way, they can respond in their opening. |
| 15 | But if we don't need to change the schedule, |
| 16 | but you allowed for CPED to provide |
| 17 | supplemental testimony any time up until |
| 18 | rebuttal defendant's rebuttal, that would |
| 19 | suffice, as well. |
| 20 | ALJ ZHANG: If the report is ready on |
| 21 | June 1st, that means Community Union has |
| 22 | about 15 days to rebut what is in the report. |
| 23 | Mr. Ortega, do you anticipate |
| 24 | needing more time to rebut to the |
| 25 | supplemental staff report? |
| 26 | MR. ORTEGA: Yeah. Larry Ortega, your |
| 27 | Honor. |
| 28 | I have several objections to the |
| | |

| 1 | in the manner in which this additional |
|---|---|
| 2 | supplemental staff report will be provided. |
| 3 | I do like the idea that it should be provided |
| 4 | before my opening testimony. If my opening |
| 5 | testimony is going to be at the date that has |
| 6 | been set, May 19th, then I think that so |
| 7 | we're not wasting a lot of time. Apparently, |
| 8 | it's incredibly important to CPED to get that |
| 9 | supplemental staff report in. |
| | |

10 I would ask that they have that 11 available before I would be obliged to providing my opening testimony. I don't 12 13 think that it's enough time. And the second 14 thing, I don't believe that it would be 15 enough time. I mean, I don't know the nature 16 of the supplemental staff report. And so I 17 would not be able to answer your second 18 question as to, "Is that going to be enough 19 I don't know until I actually have an time?" 20 opportunity to review that information.

21 ALJ ZHANG: I am not changing the trial 22 dates of June 24th, 25th, and 26th. That 23 means, looking at the calendar, before 24 June 24th, if the parties want to change the 25 schedule, the events would all end up in the 26 three weeks before. So that's your option. 27 So the alternative would be 2.8 June 1st, CPED file its supplemental staff

Status Conference 71 May 4, 2020 1 report. 2 Friday, June 5th, Community Union 3 serve its opening testimony. June 12th, CPED submit its reply 4 5 testimony. And then June 17th, Community Union 6 7 can serve its rebuttal. CPED do you have any comments on the 8 alternative schedule? 9 10 MS. BALDWIN: Your Honor, Vanessa 11 Baldwin with CPED. I haven't had a chance to confer 12 13 with my clients yet regarding an accelerated 14 schedule of that nature, evident, as you 15 noted, being concerned that it does press up 16 against hearing preparation. So I'm trying to find a balance of the two. 17 18 ALJ ZHANG: Okay. 19 Mr. Ortega, do you have any comments 20 regarding the alternative schedule that I 21 just stated? 22 I find that that proposal MR. ORTEGA: is fine for -- to -- and fair to be able to 23 24 provide the initial opening testimony, as 25 well as having the document in hand that CPED 26 wishes to provide as the supplemental report. 27 So, I'm fine with that. 2.8 ALJ ZHANG: CPED, would you like ten

| | Status Conference May 4, 2020 72 |
|----|--|
| 1 | minutes to confer with your client? |
| 2 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. Maybe |
| 3 | just give me five minutes, if possible. |
| 4 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Let's go off the |
| 5 | record. We will reconvene at 11:35. Putting |
| 6 | everyone on mute. |
| 7 | (Off the record.) |
| 8 | (Recess taken.) |
| 9 | ALJ ZHANG: We are now back on the |
| 10 | record. |
| 11 | Ms. Baldwin, during the break, did |
| 12 | you have a chance to speak with your client? |
| 13 | MS. BALDWIN: Yes, your Honor. Vanessa |
| 14 | Baldwin with CPED. |
| 15 | Our preference is to not modify the |
| 16 | and schedule, because we understand needing |
| 17 | to resolve this matter. At the same time, we |
| 18 | are trying to balance that with the lack of |
| 19 | information from Mr. Ortega, thus far, in |
| 20 | responds to our data requests. And, you |
| 21 | know, our concern about trying to move |
| 22 | forward without that information. |
| 23 | As much as I understand the schedule |
| 24 | you provided will permit us to work together |
| 25 | to obtain that information, we're concerned |
| 26 | about providing the necessary how to |
| 27 | provide the necessary information, if we get |
| 28 | information relevant before or within the |
| | |

| 1 | time table. And so this includes not only |
|----|---|
| 2 | the information that I talked about in the |
| 3 | supplemental testimony, but also in documents |
| 4 | relevant that we may want to introduce into |
| 5 | the record, as a result of the data request |
| 6 | that Mr. Ortega will be responding to. And |
| 7 | so it kind of goes to the general question of |
| 8 | how do we go about including that |
| 9 | information. |
| 10 | I do know that in other proceedings, |
| 11 | the parties have been allowed to update or |
| 12 | submit supplemental testimony on only those |
| 13 | isolated pieces, and that responses by |
| 14 | defendants or respondents can also be allowed |
| 15 | and permitted based on, of course, only those |
| 16 | isolated issues. And perhaps that's one way |
| 17 | of moving forward. But I wanted to share our |
| 18 | general concern to figure out a path forward. |
| 19 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. I am not changing |
| 20 | the schedule. |
| 21 | That means the joint stipulated |
| 22 | facts are due on May 19th. That means |
| 23 | Community Union's opening testimony is due on |
| 24 | May 19th, as well. |
| 25 | We anticipate CPED providing |
| 26 | Supplemental Staff Report on June 1st. |
| 27 | CPED's reply testimony is due |
| 28 | June 2nd. |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 74 |
|----|---|
| 1 | Community Union's rebuttal testimony |
| 2 | is due June 16th. |
| 3 | If between June 1st and June 16th, |
| 4 | Community Union does not believe there is |
| 5 | enough time to address what is discussed in |
| 6 | the supplemental staff report, then at the |
| 7 | time, Community Union will submit a motion to |
| 8 | modify the schedule. Right now, Community |
| 9 | Union's rebuttal is due on June 16th. |
| 10 | Do the parties have any questions |
| 11 | regarding the schedule? |
| 12 | Mr. Ortega? |
| 13 | MR. ORTEGA: I Larry Ortega. I do, |
| 14 | your Honor. In setting my I believe it |
| 15 | prejudices my opening testimony by not having |
| 16 | the supplemental staff report by CPED. And I |
| 17 | would ask for the Court's indulgence in |
| 18 | perhaps allowing for Community Union to |
| 19 | submit that after my opening the Community |
| 20 | Union's opening statement after the |
| 21 | supplemental staff report has been received. |
| 22 | So that |
| 23 | (Crosstalk.) |
| 24 | MR. ORTEGA: Sorry. |
| 25 | ALJ ZHANG: Your rebuttal testimony, |
| 26 | due on June 16th, can also address the |
| 27 | June 1st staff report. After you receive the |
| 28 | June 1st staff report, if you believe you |
| | |

| | May 4, 2020 75 |
|----|---|
| 1 | cannot rebut what is in the staff report, you |
| 2 | can file a motion. Because you still have |
| 3 | three weeks between June 1st and June 16th to |
| 4 | consider what was stated and discussed in the |
| 5 | staff report. |
| 6 | I am not changing the schedule right |
| 7 | now. In closing, I will afford each of you |
| 8 | the opportunity to speak on any issue I did |
| 9 | not address today or that you want to bring |
| 10 | to my attention. You may use this |
| 11 | opportunity to ask questions, as well. |
| 12 | Counsel for CPED, do you have any |
| 13 | questions or other matters you would like to |
| 14 | address? |
| 15 | MS. BALDWIN: Vanessa Baldwin with |
| 16 | CPED. No, your Honor, I do not have any |
| 17 | other procedural issues to address at this |
| 18 | time. |
| 19 | I think the main open item for us to |
| 20 | resolve and attempt to resolve with Mr. |
| 21 | Ortega is the responses to the data requests. |
| 22 | And, mainly, when I talk about responses, I |
| 23 | mean the production of documents via |
| 24 | electronic means so that we can review for |
| 25 | authenticity and review for relevance and |
| 26 | determine whether those documents are, or |
| 27 | should be, included in the record for this |
| 28 | proceeding. |
| | |

| 1 | And so we hope to come to a timely |
|----|---|
| 2 | resolution. But if not, as you indicated, we |
| 3 | will notify your Honor and request for |
| 4 | another avenue to resolve the dispute and the |
| 5 | lack of production of documents. |
| 6 | ALJ ZHANG: I understand from Mr. |
| 7 | Ortega's participation today that he is going |
| 8 | to be cooperative. And he will work with |
| 9 | CPED in order to give the information that is |
| 10 | requested in the data request. If that does |
| 11 | not happen, CPED has other options, such as |
| 12 | motions to strike. And there is always |
| 13 | Rule 1 violations, where a party does not |
| 14 | obey commission process. There are also |
| 15 | other consequences to trying to bring |
| 16 | last-minute witnesses for unauthenticated |
| 17 | documents. I think CPED will be able to ask |
| 18 | for further remedy in the future. |
| 19 | I believe Mr. Ortega promised that |
| 20 | on Wednesday, May 6th, he is going to give a |
| 21 | summary of the witnesses that he has |
| 22 | identified, and their contact information. |
| 23 | Mr. Ortega has stated on the record that he |
| 24 | is going to respond in writing to the data |
| 25 | request by May 15th. Therefore, by May 18th, |
| 26 | CPED would be able to update me as to whether |
| 27 | there will be any stipulated facts. |
| 28 | I think this is the best way forward |

| | Status Conference May 4, 2020 77 |
|----|---|
| 1 | at this time. We need to proceed in order to |
| 2 | resolve this case. |
| 3 | Ms. Baldwin, do you have any other |
| 4 | questions? |
| 5 | MS. BALDWIN: No, your Honor. Thank |
| 6 | you. |
| 7 | ALJ ZHANG: I also wanted to note that |
| 8 | Mr. Ortega identified three additional |
| 9 | witnesses. As Mr. Ortega is preparing his |
| 10 | direct testimony, which is due on May 19th, |
| 11 | he can make note of those witnesses in his |
| 12 | direct testimony of people that he continues |
| 13 | to wish to cross-examine. And CPED the |
| 14 | address that in their rebuttal testimony. |
| 15 | Mr. Ortega, do you have any |
| 16 | questions or other matters you would like to |
| 17 | address today? |
| 18 | MR. ORTEGA: Yes, your Honor. Larry |
| 19 | Ortega, your Honor. Yes, I do. |
| 20 | First, I wanted to start with thank |
| 21 | you so much for your help and assistance in |
| 22 | trying to get this matter resolved. No one |
| 23 | Community Union's as far as Community |
| 24 | Union is concerned, wants to have this matter |
| 25 | resolved more than we do. We believe that we |
| 26 | have been the target of some unethical |
| 27 | circumstance that we intend to show and |
| 28 | demonstrate for your Honor. |

| 1 | We, also, at the same time that |
|----|---|
| 2 | we're attempting to, you know, try to get |
| 3 | this behind us and clarify the record as to |
| 4 | what actually transpired in the service and |
| 5 | providing the service that we did. It's also |
| 6 | balanced against the incredible weight, your |
| 7 | Honor, and I appreciate I just wanted to |
| 8 | thank you very much from the bottom of my |
| 9 | heart, appreciate you understanding that we |
| 10 | are not a PG&E or a Southern California |
| 11 | Edison, to which these proceedings were |
| 12 | designed to kind of handle. |
| 13 | I mean, this is a gigantic |
| 14 | undertaking from a small non-profit |
| 15 | organization like ours whose income is less |
| 16 | than 50,000 annually over the last couple of |
| 17 | years here. So it's just it's an |
| 18 | incredible weight. But it's something that |
| 19 | we understand that we're, you know, trying to |
| 20 | progress through here to clear our name. And |
| 21 | it was why, you know, the key reason why we |
| 22 | were not able to reach agreement in ADR, |
| 23 | which will be articulated in the future, was |
| 24 | because there was fault that was going to be |
| 25 | lied on our name, and that our name was going |
| 26 | to be part of a settlement agreement that |
| 27 | said there was maybe not they wouldn't say |
| 28 | specifically no wrongdoing. However, it |

Status Conference 79 May 4, 2020 wouldn't say that there wasn't any wrong 1 2 doing --3 MS. BALDWIN: Mr. Ortega --MR. ORTEGA: Yeah. 4 5 MS. BALDWIN: I'm sorry to interrupt. 6 But I think it's inappropriate for you to 7 mention specifics during our settlement. ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega --8 9 (Crosstalk.) 10 MS. BALDWIN: Sorry to interrupt. 11 ALJ ZHANG: Mr. Ortega, Ms. Baldwin is 12 Alternative Dispute Resolution correct. information is confidential. 13 That's why 14 parties enter into Alternative Dispute Resolution process. Please do not discussion 15 16 what happened during the Alternative Dispute 17 Resolution discussions. It's confidential. 18 MR. ORTEGA: My apologies, your Honor. 19 My apologies. 20 All that to simply state that 21 there's the great weight of this whole entire 22 process that is upon us, and that I 23 appreciate your willingness to have 24 flexibility in this proceeding. And I wanted 25 to simply just, you know, to thank you for 26 that. And I look forward to trying to get 27 this matter behind us. And I appreciate the 2.8 -- your understanding on this matter.

| 1 | And we will as I stated again in |
|----|--|
| 2 | opening remarks, is that we'll be filing an |
| 3 | opposition to the settlement agreement |
| 4 | against KCCD. And essentially, I guess, your |
| 5 | Honor, that's all I wanted to say. |
| 6 | ALJ ZHANG: Ms. Hearing Reporter, I |
| 7 | believe we are supposed to talk about how the |
| 8 | parties will acquire a transcript. |
| 9 | (Reporter clarification.) |
| 10 | ALJ ZHANG: Yes. Let's go off the |
| 11 | record so you can discuss that with the |
| 12 | parties. |
| 13 | (Off the record.) |
| 14 | ALJ ZHANG: Okay. Let's go back on the |
| 15 | record. |
| 16 | When we were off the record, we |
| 17 | discussed how the parties may acquire a copy |
| 18 | of the transcript. |
| 19 | Thank you for your participation |
| 20 | today. We are adjourned. We are off the |
| 21 | record. |
| 22 | (Whereupon, at the hour of 11:53 a.m., this matter having been |
| 23 | concluded, the Commission then adjourned.) |
| 24 | aujourneu.) |
| 25 | * * * * * |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |

| | Status Conference May 4, 2020 81 |
|----|--|
| 1 | BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION |
| 2 | OF THE |
| 3 | STATE OF CALIFORNIA |
| 4 | |
| 5 | |
| 6 | CERTIFICATION OF TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDING |
| 7 | I, KARLY POWERS, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER |
| 8 | NO. 13991, IN AND FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA DO |
| 9 | HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE PAGES OF THIS TRANSCRIPT |
| 10 | PREPARED BY ME COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE, AND CORRECT |
| 11 | TRANSCRIPT OF THE TESTIMONY AND PROCEEDINGS HELD IN |
| 12 | THIS MATTER ON MAY 4, 2020. |
| 13 | I FURTHER CERTIFY THAT I HAVE NO INTEREST IN THE |
| 14 | EVENTS OF THE MATTER OR THE OUTCOME OF THE PROCEEDING. |
| 15 | EXECUTED THIS MAY 11, 2020. |
| 16 | |
| 17 | |
| 18 | |
| 19 | |
| 20 | KHAIHER I |
| 21 | KARLY POWERS |
| 22 | CSR NO. #13991 |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |
| 28 | |
| | |

| 1 | 69:21 70:28 73:26 74:3, 27,28 75:3 | | accommodate 31:4 38:1 |
|---|---|--|---|
| | | | accompanied 61:17 |
| 1 15:20 16:14 31:13 34:4 48:5 76:13 | 2 | 5 15:20 16:15 | accordance 10:11 |
| 1.1 11:3 | 2 15:20 16:15 31:13 | 50,000 78:16 | accountable 14:11 |
| 1.15 33:21 | 34:4 | 514 10:14 | accounting 9:6 12:24 |
| 10 9:21 11:28 31:13 | 2015 16:24,25 | 5th 71:2 | 23:19 40:3 |
| 48:5 53:5 | 2017 23:11,16,27 24:4, 15 25:11 28:14 34:13 | 6 | accurate 2:24 33:10 |
| 10- 30:18 | 35:1 | • • • • | acquire 80:8,17 |
| 10:10 30:24 | 2018 5:20 37:19 67:21 | 6 12:4 | acquiring 15:11 |
| 11 9:19 10:17 11:28 17:2 31:14 | 2020 2:2 23:2,14 25:13, 15,17 26:26 27:8 33:23 | 65 10:14 6th 22:21,24 33:23 | Action-charter 4:22, 24 |
| 11.3(b) 23:22 33:17 11:35 72:5 | 35:3 38:12,16,26 43:27 64:11,13 | 59:22 76:20 | activities 10:6,27 12:5 16:4 68:27 |
| 11:53 80:22 | 21st 23:11,14 25:13,14 | 7 | activity 13:9 49:3 |
| 11.33 80.22 12 9:19 10:21 16:24 | 38:12,16,26 43:27 | 7 12:4 | actual 9:25 29:21,23 |
| 17:2,12,19 21:3,14 53:5 | 22nd 65:9 | 790 10:10 | add 5:8 6:17 15:4 42:9 |
| 12th 71:4 | 24th 5:20 64:27 70:22, 24 | | 51:20 54:2 |
| 13 9:19,21 10:24 15:17 17:2,6,13 21:3 31:14 | 25 23:2 | 8 | added 12:6 13:19 56:19 57:4 |
| 34:5 | 25th 64:27 70:22 | 8 12:4 | addition 7:7 14:7 49:20 54:9 57:4 |
| 14 11:1,2 | 26th 64:28 70:22 | 8th 65:8 | additional 33:28 38:21 |
| 15 11:7 31:14 34:5 69:22 | 2nd 64:20 73:28 | 9 | 55:13 58:5 59:13 70:1 77:8 |
| 15-minute 30:18 | 3 | 0 45:00 40:45 40 04:40 | address 45:4,13,19,20, |
| 15th 38:14,24 39:14,22 43:8,18 65:25 66:14 | 3 11:28 15:8 | 9 15:20 16:15,18 31:13 34:4 | 23 59:2,6 74:5,26 75:9, 14,17 77:14,17 |
| 67:4 76:25 | 3,300 36:18 | 90 37:3 | addressed 15:10 |
| 16 11:9 | 30 10:18 | 9:04 2:2 | addresses 38:17 |
| 16th 64:24,25 69:7 74:2,3,9,26 75:3 | 30th 16:25 | Α | adequate 42:20 61:9 |
| 17 11:13 | 4 | | adherence 7:14 |
| 17th 71:6 | | A-N-G-E-L 58:13 | adjourned 80:20,23 |
| 18 11:16 25:28 | 4 2:2 15:20 16:15 33:3 | A-R-I-A-S 48:4 | Administrative 2:4,8 |
| 18-07-009 2:12 | 44:4,9 45:27 | A-S-H-A-M 58:13 | ADR 78:22 |
| 18th 66:23 76:25 | 40 10:1,20 11:3,6 24:23 49:4 56:5 | a.m. 2:2 80:22 | advance 42:23 53:7 54:22 55:1 |
| 19 9:27 11:19 14:8 26:1 | 40-hour 17:8,9 | ability 27:5,21 54:19 | 54:22 55:1 Advanced 2:15 9:10, |
| 51:13 | 4th 33:20 | accelerated 71:13 | 15 23:5 |
| 19th 64:11,13 66:3,7 70:6 73:22,24 77:10 | | accept 18:1 33:5 | advice 26:17 |
| 1st 16:24 67:21 68:21 | | acceptable 29:1 accidentally 58:28 | affidavits 50:19 51:19 60:20 64:16 |

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

В

B-A-L-D-W-I-N 3:19

afford 26:16 75:7 afternoon 22:17 agency 24:9 46:8 agenda 3:27 8:17 15:7 42:1,3,4,5 44:4,8 45:26 50:7 51:24 agent 7:12,16,25 8:11 42:14 12:13,16,17,18,20 15:25 16:6,8 agent's 12:27 16:8 agents 44:23 agree 32:18,19 66:10 67:22,26 agreement 7:10 12:17, 18 13:7 16:8,20 44:21 78:22,26 80:3 agrees 13:13 ahead 31:20,21 42:10 66:11,22 align 48:25 **ALJ** 3:22,27 5:18 6:4, 13,15 7:27 8:1 14:17,22 15:6 17:28 18:14 20:20, 26 21:1,7,23 22:6,15, 20,26 25:10 28:11,13 29:4,8,24 30:16,22,27 31:19,21 32:17 33:11, 15 34:8,12 37:11 39:1, 6,17 40:18,21 41:2 42:1,10 43:6,11,17 44:7 45:1,11,18,26 46:26 36:18 47:4,9 49:15 50:3 51:11 **apply** 2:26 52:5,12 53:14 54:13,28 55:2,8,11 58:27 59:2, 21,26 60:7,11 62:8,16 63:5,16,23 64:3 66:6, 13,23 67:1,15 68:4,18, 22 69:8,20 70:21 71:18, 28 72:4,9 73:19 74:25 76:6 77:7 79:8,11 80:6, 10,14 allowable 9:18 15,16 allowed 23:6 51:11 69:16 73:11,14 allowing 48:28 74:18 alternative 4:2 5:1 40:11 70:27 71:9,20 78:23 79:12,14,16

ambiguities 32:7 amenable 28:25 amount 40:22 54:25 analyst 46:4 analyzing 68:12 and/or 2:17 9:9 32:21 Andrew 17:6 46:12 47:21 48:14 Angel 57:18 58:12 Angel's 58:11 announce 3:3 announced 2:28 annually 78:16 answering 26:7 31:15 answers 24:22 39:22 42:23 43:8,18 anticipate 65:1 68:18 69:23 73:25 apologies 79:18,19 apologize 20:19 26:7 35:2 44:12 Apparently 70:7 appears 5:8 17:14 application 10:4 applications 27:2 approval 4:15 **approve** 18:10 approximately 68:24 April 33:20,23 argument 8:2 arguments 65:11,14, Arias 48:4 50:25 54:10 55:4,14 59:11 articulate 49:9 articulated 24:21

Asham 57:18 58:2,13 **Asian** 4:18 assist 15:13 assistance 77:21 assistant 57:6 assistants 57:11 Association 4:21,23 assure 45:23 attach 45:15 50:19 63:9 64:17 Attached 60:19 Attachment 7:24 attempt 25:7 75:20 attempted 24:4,5 25:14 35:5,10 attempting 34:26 78:2 attempts 38:1 attendance 19:22 attendees 10:10 attention 75:10 attestation 62:28 63:10 attorney 21:21 26:16 attorneys 24:7,11 audit 9:17,20,23 11:28 13:18,28 24:19,20,28 31:5 46:7 audit's 25:3 auditor 56:2,3 auditors 31:8 42:28 Audits 46:8,10,15 authentication 32:14 authenticity 75:25 author 50:1 authorized 23:7 **avenue** 76:4 avenues 40:9 avoid 29:1

aware 28:15

back 14:7 22:12 25:25 26:6 40:26 41:9,14,23 72:9 80:14 balance 71:17 72:18 balanced 78:6 Baldwin 3:18,19 5:7, 11,18,21,28 6:3,10,14 14:3,5,6,17,20 17:16, 20,28 18:2,25 20:20,23, 27 21:7,9,27 22:3,7 28:4,19,23,24 29:9,16 30:12,14,28 31:2,20,21, 22 32:18 33:13 34:4 36:4 38:3 39:8,21,26,27 40:19,28 42:8,9,11 43:11,16 44:5 46:21,22, 27 49:15,17,18 52:19, 22,23 53:27,28 54:16 55:1,7,10 58:24 59:23, 24,27,28 63:24,26 65:17,19,20 66:10,19, 22,26 67:9 68:4,6,7,20 69:1,12 71:10,11 72:2, 11,13,14 75:15 77:3,5 79:3,5,10,11 banning 14:14

barred 11:10

barriers 15:12

based 68:23 73:15

basis 7:12 52:24 56:19 58:6

began 25:17

beginning 35:7

behalf 5:12 6:26 49:22

bit 18:12 40:14

Black 4:20,23

blank 62:25

blanketly 42:26

bono 26:17

bottom 27:22 78:8

bound 12:16

box 41:16

boxes 27:1 28:2 32:3,5 36:3,6,10,12 38:5 40:25 41:18 42:13,18,21,27

break 30:17,18,22 72:11

breech 7:20

Brian 24:16 46:3 47:25 48:15 49:20

briefs 16:16 65:7,8,14

bring 25:3 32:8 41:27 45:9 75:9 76:15

bringing 44:17

burdensome 29:3 31:26

Bureau 46:8,13

business 4:20,23 26:11 33:22

С

C-O-N-W-A-Y 58:16

CAFF 18:10

calendar 70:23

California 2:15 6:28 9:10,15 17:3 23:5 37:19 46:11 78:10

California's 2:13 15:21,26

call 3:1,13 19:8 49:26

calling 54:22

calls 43:22

capability 27:23

cards 10:24

case 2:10,22 4:26 6:24 7:2 8:19 11:21 12:9 15:9,15,16 17:10,25 21:14 33:10 36:1,2,13, 28 37:16,18 38:7 41:22 42:14,19 44:15 46:1 47:16 48:18,21 49:13 50:10,17 51:20 53:15 54:1,5 55:18 56:17,21

57:14 60:19 61:10,11, 16 62:5,28 63:8,18 64:8 69:2 77:2 category 13:16 14:2 caution 42:16 **CD** 20:16 21:13,17,19, 22 CD's 18:20 19:17,19 cetera 27:10 35:26 56:5 challenges 15:11 37:17 43:25 chance 71:12 72:12 change 65:2 66:17 69:15 70:24 changing 70:21 73:19 75:6 charged 9:15 chief 69:2 Chiefs 46:13 choose 50:19 54:20 Chris 17:7 46:6 47:23 48:14 Churches 4:16,19 7:11 12:12,15,19 13:23 16:19 44:22 45:5 circumstance 77:27

circumstances 28:9

31:25

claimed 29:3 31:6

claims 31:25 32:2

clarification 6:20 7:8 18:12,18 51:4 80:9

clarify 21:15,16 39:28 53:28 60:1 78:3

clarifying 32:9

clarity 25:3 35:10

class 24:24

clear 2:24 3:11 7:24 24:22 28:6 50:13 52:9 78:20

client 17:17,21 18:1 29:11 30:15 31:1 52:19 72:1,12 clients 71:13 closed 26:14 closing 4:11 75:7 coalition 5:17 6:14 8:8 15:22.27 17:4 18:10 23:8 Coalition's 2:14 collaborate 66:4 **collect** 9:9,12,13 collecting 58:3 combined 26:19 comment 21:8 63:28 commentary 49:11 **comments** 14:4,18,23 23:25 33:12,28 34:17 44:3,7,28 47:6 49:16 53:26 63:25 65:18 68:5 71:8,19 commission 2:6.17 5:26 7:17 8:12 11:11 16:3 18:9 24:13 45:13 50:11 67:17 76:14 80:23 Commission's 2:13, 20 23:20 33:16 communicate 43:19 communication 16:26 18:19.21 19:14.20 Communication's 46:24 48:11 communications 10:18,22 22:1,8 24:17 41:4 46:17 55:25 56:8, 16,22 57:3,6 **Community** 3:23 4:16,

18,20,26 5:2,10,13 6:7, 11,26 7:13,18 8:3,4,6 9:4,8 11:14,21,27 12:3, 9,12,13,15,19,23,25 13:15,22,23,27 14:16, 25 15:15 16:14,19,23 17:5,10,14,18,25 20:5, 11 23:11,17 26:3,9 28:20 30:4 36:2 38:1,6, 11,14,20 41:20 44:8,20, 22 45:5 47:14,15 52:21 55:27 59:4 60:15 61:14,

Index: bound..contention

22,26 62:19 63:17 64:5, 12,14,21 69:10,21 71:2, 6 73:23 74:1,4,7,8,18, 19 77:23

compared 13:22

compel 13:1 23:3 32:21 33:19

compelled 26:27 45:9

complete 2:24

completed 20:6,8 24:20 36:14

complicated 67:25

concede 33:5

concern 40:8 43:2 72:21 73:18

concerned 33:7 42:12 65:25 66:4 71:15 72:25 77:24

concerns 15:10

concluded 80:23

concurrent 65:7,8

conducted 2:23

confer 30:15 71:12 72:1

conference 2:11,22,26 42:20 44:16 48:21 64:9

confidential 79:13,17

confusing 61:8

consequences 76:15

considerate 43:23

considered 56:19

consistent 10:2

constraints 61:7

consultation 24:10

consumer 3:14,20 4:13 5:5,12 12:14 17:14 21:25,27 22:27 47:10 64:18

contact 53:7,12,23 60:3,5,8 76:22

contention 7:13,14 20:9 49:4

| ustomers 67:19 | delay 33:7 |
|----------------|--------------------|
| Index: | continuedirections |
| _ | |

| continue 48:24 54:19 | 45:4,15 51:9 55: | |
|---|--|--|
| continued 33:7 | Court's 35:21,2 | |
| continues 77:12 | 74:17 | |
| contract 7:15,24 8:9,12 | courtroom 65: | |
| 13:5 18:6,13 36:14,20 49:3,6,10 56:1,10,24 | cover 15:28 | |
| 57:12,22 | covering 14:28 | |
| contracted 16:3 | COVID 27:10 | |
| contractual 7:19 | COVID-19 26:2 | |
| contradictory 48:27 49:12 | CPED 4:14,17,1 7:10,21 8:4 12:2 14:6 20:21 21:2, | |
| Controller 46:14 | 22:11 23:2,4,10, | |
| Controller's 10:26 | 28:3,15,19,24 29 34:9 36:1,7,11 3 | |
| Controllers 24:21 32:16 46:9 | 38:3,8 39:18,24 43:7,12,20,23 44 | |
| conversation 40:2 | 45:28 46:4,18,22 24,25 49:16,18,2 | |
| Conway 57:18 58:2,15, 16 | 50:8 52:1,7,23 5 20,26,28 55:5 5 | |
| cooperate 41:3 | 59:3,9,14,28 61: 63:27 65:20 66: | |
| cooperating 37:26 | 67:3,8 68:7,8,26 | |
| cooperative 76:8 | 70:8,28 71:4,8,1 72:14 73:25 74: | |
| copied 22:6,13 27:4 36:11,12 | 75:12,16 76:9,1 ⁻ 77:13 | |
| copies 27:26 28:1,26 31:16 32:12 37:14 39:2 | CPED's 7:15 13 33:27 34:1 38:12 50:2 73:27 | |
| copy 21:20 29:23 36:25 37:5 80:17 | CPUC 4:28 | |
| copying 29:2 | creative 30:10 | |
| cor 6:28 | cross-examina | |
| | 8:24 44:26,27 46 | |
| Corona 51:12 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 | |
| Corona 51:12 corporate 28:7 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: | |
| | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: 61:21 64:26 | |
| corporate 28:7 corporation 7:1 correct 6:10 29:20 36:4 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: | |
| corporate 28:7 corporation 7:1 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: 61:21 64:26 cross-examine | |
| corporate 28:7 corporation 7:1 correct 6:10 29:20 36:4 46:22 55:6,7 62:1,22 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: 61:21 64:26 cross-examine 59:5 61:3 77:13 | |
| corporate 28:7 corporation 7:1 correct 6:10 29:20 36:4 46:22 55:6,7 62:1,22 63:2,12 69:12 79:12 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: 61:21 64:26 cross-examine 59:5 61:3 77:13 cross-examine crossing 41:26 Crosstalk 25:8 | |
| corporate 28:7 corporation 7:1 correct 6:10 29:20 36:4 46:22 55:6,7 62:1,22 63:2,12 69:12 79:12 correctly 9:18,21 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: 61:21 64:26 cross-examine 59:5 61:3 77:13 cross-examine crossing 41:26 Crosstalk 25:8 40:20 66:12,21 7 79:9 | |
| corporate 28:7 corporation 7:1 correct 6:10 29:20 36:4 46:22 55:6,7 62:1,22 63:2,12 69:12 79:12 correctly 9:18,21 costs 28:21 41:5 Counsel 3:14,20 26:23 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: 61:21 64:26 cross-examine 59:5 61:3 77:13 cross-examine crossing 41:26 Crosstalk 25:8 40:20 66:12,21 | |
| corporate 28:7 corporation 7:1 correct 6:10 29:20 36:4 46:22 55:6,7 62:1,22 63:2,12 69:12 79:12 correctly 9:18,21 costs 28:21 41:5 Counsel 3:14,20 26:23 35:4 37:28 46:18 75:12 | 47:13 48:9 50:22 51:6,22 52:2 60: 61:21 64:26 cross-examine 59:5 61:3 77:13 cross-examine crossing 41:26 Crosstalk 25:8 40:20 66:12,21 7 79:9 | |

| 51:9 55:28 58:4 | customers 67:19 | delay 33:7 |
|----------------------------------|---|---|
| 35:21,22 55:24 | cut 58:25 | demanded 2:20 |
| | cutoff 64:25 | demonstrate 77:28 |
| m 65:1 | cycle 15:1 | denying 44:14 |
| :28 | | Department 46:11 |
| 14:28 | D | dependent 48:22 |
| 7:10 | D-E-V-L-A 46:28 | depending 5:25 |
| 9 26:21 | D-U-L-I-N 47:20 | Deputy 16:28 |
| 14,17,19 6:22 3:4 12:25 13:13 | data 17:22 22:2,7 23:3, | describe 38:3 |
| 21 21:2,16,21 | 10,15,16,27 25:13,15, | description 50:20 59 |
| :2,4,10,14,16 19,24 29:13 | 17 26:26 27:3,9,17 28:27 31:10 32:6,20 | descriptions 53:15 |
| 1,7,11 37:13 | 33:27 34:13,24 35:18 | designated 57:27 |
|):18,24 41:3 20,23 44:2,3,21 | 38:12,16,26 42:15,16, 23 43:4,27 68:10 72:20 | designed 78:12 |
| :4,18,22 47:22, | 73:5 75:21 76:10,24 | detail 25:4 36:15 |
| :16,18,21,23 I,7,23 53:17, | date 17:27 23:23 62:2 | detailed 25:2 |
| 55:5 57:4 | 65:27 66:27 69:3,5 70:5 | detailing 22:16 |
| l,28 61:21 :20 66:13,23 | date' 62:25 | details 18:15 19:9 |
| 3:7,8,26 69:16 | dates 70:22 | determination 16:13 |
| 71:4,8,11,25,28 :25 74:16 | day 33:22 35:15 | determine 23:4 39:19 |
| 76:9,11,17,26 | days 10:19 23:23 37:3 69:22 | 23 41:15,20 43:21 |
| | deadline 37:21,22 | 75:26 |
| 7:15 13:1 24:3 :1 38:12 47:7 | 59:17,19 65:22,24 | determined 4:4 8:21 9:1 |
| 27 | deadlines 66:1 | determines 41:20 |
| 28 | deal 55:22 | determining 15:13 |
| 30:10 | Debra 48:2 50:25 54:3, | develop 65:26 66:5,9 |
| amination | 21 55:3,14 59:10 | Development 4:17,2 |
| 26,27 46:19 :9 50:22,27 | decision 13:10 35:21, 23 | 12:13,16,19 13:23 |
| 52:2 60:25 | declaration 61:12,18, | 16:20 44:22 45:6 |
| :26 | 25 62:19 | Devla 46:27 57:5 |
| amine 52:6 3 77:13 | declare 61:27 62:21 | dictate 35:17,23 |
| amined 4:9 | 63:1 | difficult 26:24 37:12, |
| 41:26 | deemed 54:26 | digest 62:13 |
| k 25:8 34:11 | defendant's 68:16 69:18 | digression 26:8 |
| :12,21 74:23 | defendants 69:5 73:14 | direct 27:20 50:18 52:13 54:15 60:22 |
| 10.15 | defense 55:20 | 61:23 77:10,12 |
| ve 48:13 | defer 28:21 | directed 5:5 |
| 5:9 28:18 30:9 | deficient 35:1 | directions 18:12 |
| | | |

ending 5:25 outy 16:28 cribe 38:3 cription 50:20 59:9 criptions 53:15 ignated 57:27 igned 78:12 ail 25:4 36:15 ailed 25:2 ailing 22:16 ails 18:15 19:9 ermination 16:13 ermine 23:4 39:19, 41:15,20 43:21 :26 ermined 4:4 8:21 ermines 41:20 ermining 15:13 elop 65:26 66:5,9 velopment 4:17,20 :13,16,19 13:23 :20 44:22 45:6 **la** 46:27 57:5 tate 35:17,23 icult 26:24 37:12,27 est 62:13 ression 26:8 ect 27:20 50:18 :13 54:15 60:22 :23 77:10,12 ected 5:5

Director 10:18 16:28 documenting 36:15 46:17 documents 6:5 18:9 disadvantaged 23:17 20:21 23:20 28:15,16, 21,26 29:15,17 30:5 discover 15:12 31:7,11,17,24 32:1,4,28 discovery 4:7 11:23. 33:6,9 35:26 38:21 39:20 40:12,15 42:17, 25,27 12:3 13:1 15:8, 10,17,19 16:14,23 17:5, 22,25 43:1,4,19 45:12, 12.19 21:2 23:1 25:21 15 56:25 64:16 73:3 30:11 33:19 35:14,24 75:23,26 76:5,17 37:27 53:8,21 54:20,24 **door** 41:26 56:4 64:25 dress 28:9 discuss 12:4 14:9 42:7 50:7 53:22 60:15 64:3 due 28:8 33:19,22 38:7 80:11 50:22 51:20 64:10,13, 24 65:7,8 73:22,23,27 discussed 12:28 74:2.9.26 77:10 13:10,12 16:15,21 29:10 44:25 54:5 74:5 **Dulin** 17:1 47:20 48:12 75:4 80:17 duplicate 9:13 discusses 12:11,23 duplicative 49:19 discussing 52:28 50:12 discussion 30:2 79:15 duress 25:19 26:20 discussions 79:17 dismiss 4:23 dismissal 4:18 e-mailed 29:27 dismissed 7:22 e-n-i-a-d-a 58:15 **dispute** 4:2 5:1 8:13,26 earliest 68:20 12:23 13:6 15:21 16:22 easier 38:5 64:5 76:4 79:12,14,16 easy 26:23 37:13,14,15 **disputed** 4:4 8:19,21 9:1,27 13:2,16,19,25 **Edison** 78:11 14:2,19,23 66:3 electric 67:19 disputes 11:22,24,26 **electronic** 28:26 29:22 12:1,8,21 15:20 30:11 75:24 Division 2:21 3:15,21 electronically 40:7,16 4:14 5:6,13 10:18,22 12:14 17:15 19:20 elements 34:6 21:26,28 22:2,8 46:9, email 18:7,23 21:2 14,17,24 47:11 48:11 22:16 30:7 45:14 56:8.16.22 57:3.7 emails 16:24,27 17:6 **Division's** 16:26 18:21 18:5 19:9 22:12 22:28 55:26 64:19 emphasize 3:10 document 29:23,26 30:2 32:13,24 33:2 emphasizing 51:18 39:24 71:25 end 57:8 61:26 63:11 documentation 20:15 70:25 37:6 56:12 57:24 60:17

Е

Enforcement 3:15,21 4:13 5:6,12 12:14 17:15 21:26,28 22:28 47:11 64:19 Enrichment 4:21.24 ensure 2:23 enter 7:23 10:15 79:14 entered 8:8,12 29:18 67:23 entire 20:28 79:21 entirety 49:5 entities 61:16,19 entitled 52:1.6 entity 8:11 56:21 entity's 12:26 enunciate 3:10 equitable 14:14 erred 24:13 error 25:5 essentially 26:15 80:4 estimate 9:24 events 50:21 70:25 evidence 13:8 29:18 65:13 evident 71:14 evidentiary 4:5,9 8:25 16:17 38:10 44:26 45:21 46:20 47:13 50:5 51:22 60:21,24 65:12 examples 68:1 exchange 16:4 35:25 excuse 7:4 15:1,3 17:24 35:13 42:4 **executed** 16:21 62:2, 25 Executive 16:28 exhibits 45:22 52:7 expect 41:2 43:8

> **expenses** 9:5,14,18,21 11:20 23:10,13 25:23

expected 53:2

experts 32:15

26:3

explain 48:17

explanation 35:13 55:20

extend 37:22

extended 37:21

extending 66:8

extent 13:28 16:11 18:3 21:17 23:7 49:25,28

extreme 26:20 35:8

extremely 31:26

F

F-I-N-L-A-Y-S-O-N 46:13

fact 13:25 16:22 67:16, 18,20

facts 4:4 8:14,19,21,23, 25 9:2,27 11:7,9 13:3, 16,19 14:2,19,24 15:14 64:10 65:24,27 66:2,7, 9,16,25 67:3,13,24,26, 27 73:22 76:27

factual 11:22,24 12:1,8, 20,22 13:6 15:20 65:15

failure 23:26

fair 36:24 71:23

fairly 37:7

fall 13:16 14:2

familiar 24:11

fault 78:24

February 23:14 25:13, 14,17 26:26 27:8 35:3 38:12,16,26 43:27

felt 25:1 45:9

figure 73:18

file 43:28 45:2,11,18,24 68:17 70:28 75:2

filed 4:15,17,22 5:20 23:2,22 69:10

Status Conference Ν

| May 4, 2020 |
|---|
| filing 80:2 |
| final 19:13 |
| financial 11:14 25:19 26:10,20 27:5,11,20,23 28:1,8 35:5,9 36:26 43:25 61:7 |
| find 47:9 71:17,22 |
| findings 25:4 |
| fine 30:20 49:26 71:23, 27 |
| finish 37:25 42:3 |
| Finlayson 17:7 46:12 47:21 48:14 |
| fiscal 7:11,16,25 8:11 12:13,16,17,18,20,27 15:25 16:6,7,8,20 44:23 |
| flat-out 27:19 |
| flexibility 79:24 |
| folks 60:3 |
| follow 57:24 62:28 |
| foregoing 62:22 |
| forgoing 61:28 |
| form 40:6 49:12,22 68:16 |
| formal 17:27 60:18,20 61:9 |
| formalities 61:7 |
| forward 21:18 72:22 73:17,18 76:28 79:26 |
| found 42:18 |
| frame 25:26 26:5 35:7 40:10 51:15 56:27 |
| Friday 4:19 38:14 71:2 |
| front 41:9,14,23 57:10 |
| frustrated 31:27 |
| full 3:16,24 33:9 |
| fully 24:6 41:3 |
| Fund 2:15,16 9:10,16 23:5 |
| funding 9:13 |
| fundo 2:10 0:0 11:11 |

funds 2:19 9:8 11:11

23:9 future 11:11 76:18 78:23 G gain 53:17 gathering 68:12 general 9:5 17:24 73:7, 18 generally-accepted 9:6 gigantic 28:2 78:13 give 59:9 67:15 69:4 72:3 76:9,20 giving 33:24 good 2:6 30:17 33:1 governor 26:22 65:4 graduates 10:15 graduating 10:14 48:6 grant 2:16,19 8:10 9:11,16 10:3,10,14 11:17 16:4 23:5 57:5, 20,21 granted 32:22 grantee 18:11 great 6:2 25:4 29:8 79:21 ground 2:25 grounds 7:9 guess 18:11 40:13 80:4 guidance 56:26 65:28 н **H-O-M** 46:5 H-U-A-N-G 47:3 half 68:25 hand 71:25

handle 78:12

happen 16:16 35:24

41:28 51:7 76:11

happy 18:24 32 hear 29:6 heard 38:2 hearing 4:5,9 3 45:21 46:20 47: 50:5 51:23 60:2 64:26 65:6 71:1 hearings 8:25 38:10 60:24 65: **heart** 78:9 held 14:11 helpful 50:14 54 24 hesitate 29:19 hire 27:12 hold 8:14 33:2 Hom 24:16 46:3 48:15 49:28 50: Hom's 48:25 home 24:24 Honor 5:22 6:20 20,26 15:3 17:2 16 19:4 20:18 2 22:4,9,19,25 23 25:25 28:23 30: 31:2 32:22 33:1 19,23,26 35:12, 38:28 39:4,15,2 41:7,26 42:8,11 11,28 45:7,8,17 47:8 49:8,14,17 52:3,10,22 53:2 18 56:14 58:7 5 60:10 62:6,12 6 22 65:19 67:5,6 69:27 71:10 72: 74:14 75:16 76: 18,19,28 78:7 7 80:5 hope 43:23 76:1 hoping 40:19 hour 80:22 hours 10:1,20 11:3,6 24:23 36:16 56:5

| Index: | filinginclude |
|--|---|
| happened 67:21 79:16 | hours' 49:4 |
| happy 18:24 32:24 | Huang 47:2 |
| hear 29:6 | hundred-page 25:1 |
| heard 38:2 | hurt 20:8,11 |
| hearing 4:5,9 36:24 45:21 46:20 47:13 49:7 50:5 51:23 60:21 61:4 64:26 65:6 71:16 80:6 | Hyepin 47:27 50:24 55:2,6,9 |
| hearings 8:25 16:17 38:10 60:24 65:3,12 | I-M 47:28 |
| heart 78:9 | idea 53:1 70:3 |
| held 14:11 | ideal 69:13 |
| helpful 50:14 54:7,17, | identical 23:15 34:13 |
| 24 hesitate 29:19 | identification 4:3,6,8 53:4 |
| hire 27:12 | identified 4:27 5:15 |
| hold 8:14 33:2 | 12:15 29:26 43:3 47:10, 22,23,25 50:17 53:19 |
| Hom 24:16 46:3 47:25 48:15 49:28 50:9 52:8 | 54:4,11 57:10 59:6 60:28 76:22 77:8 |
| Hom's 48:25 | identifies 53:5 |
| home 24:24 Honor 5:22 6:20 14:5, | identify 3:3,15,23 22:12 31:12 39:24 |
| 20,26 15:3 17:20 18:2, 16 19:4 20:18 21:5,9 | 42:24 |
| 22:4,9,19,25 23:28 | identifying 43:14 |
| 25:25 28:23 30:14,21 31:2 32:22 33:14 34:2, | Im 47:27 50:24 55:2,6,9 |
| 19,23,26 35:12,27 37:8 | immediately 50:13 |
| 38:28 39:4,15,27 40:28 41:7,26 42:8,11 44:5, | impeach 49:1 |
| 11,28 45:7,8,17 46:21 | importance 13:9 |
| 47:8 49:8,14,17 50:28 52:3,10,22 53:27 55:17, | important 8:22 52:28 56:6 60:26 70:8 |
| 18 56:14 58:7 59:19,23 | impose 2:17 |
| 60:10 62:6,12 63:4,15, 22 65:19 67:5,6 68:2,6 | imposing 14:13 |
| 69:27 71:10 72:2,13 | in-camera 29:9 |
| 74:14 75:16 76:3 77:5, 18,19,28 78:7 79:18 | inability 28:8 |
| 80:5 hope 43:23 76:1 | inadequate 18:23 45:14 |

inappropriate 79:6

include 9:18 21:22 49:20 60:27

included 11:25 12:2 37:8 75:27

| May 4, 2020 | | | |
|--|---|--|--|
| includes 11:22 73:1 | interest 41:21 | | |
| including 5:24 6:23 | interested 39:25 | J | L |
| 11:17 65:15 73:8 | interesting 20:14 | J-A-N-E-S 48:2 | L-A-R-R-Y 3:25 |
| income 26:14 78:15 | Internet 2:14 10:15 | jail 27:27 | L-E-G-A-K-I-S 58:19 |
| inconsistency 56:7 | 15:22,27 17:3 23:8 48:7 | Jane 48:5 53:5,18,19 | LA 65:1 |
| inconsistent 56:10 | interpretation 49:10 56:24 | 55:4,15 59:11 | lack 72:18 76:5 |
| incredible 78:6,18 | interpretations 55:28 | Janes 48:2 50:25 54:3 55:3,14 59:10 | landscaping 29:5 |
| incredibly 70:8 | interrupt 3:5 79:5,10 | | Larry 3:25 5:15,24 6:18 |
| incurred 9:22 | introduce 73:4 | January 67:21 Jeanette 47:26 48:16 | 14:25 20:11 21:4 24:1 |
| individual 6:9 | investigating 8:5 | | 27:25 38:27 39:5 41:6 43:9 44:10 45:8 48:19 |
| individually 3:2 | investigating 0.3 | Jeannette's 49:24 | 50:28 52:3,10 55:16 |
| individuals 55:23 56:23 57:19 | 5:19 6:5,6 8:2 21:18 55:19 | joint 4:17,22 64:10 65:24 66:7 67:3,10,13, 23,27 73:21 | 59:16 60:9 61:25 62:6, 11,19 63:3 67:5 69:26 74:13 77:18 |
| indulgence 74:17 | invoices 10:21 | Judge 2:4,8,28 3:6 | last-minute 76:16 |
| information 13:27 15:11,13 17:26 20:17 | involve 11:28 13:4,26 15:18,19 39:18 45:21, | 18:27 27:25 54:17 58:26 65:28 | Lastly 46:16 |
| 21:13,19 23:12 24:26 27:1,3 28:2,3 29:21 | 22 60:25 | July 5:20 23:11 28:13 65:8,9 | law 2:4,8 12:26 13:13, 24 15:19 16:13 51:9 |
| 31:12 32:27 36:5,21,26 38:9 39:10,12 41:10 | involved 37:12 50:10 | June 16:25 64:20,24, | laws 6:28 |
| 43:15 53:13,24 54:14, 25 55:13 56:3 57:8,13 | involves 13:11,17,20 45:20 60:18 | 25,27 68:21 69:7,21 | lay 55:27 |
| 58:4 59:14 60:3,5,8 | involving 12:26 | 70:22,24,28 71:2,4,6 73:26,28 74:2,3,9,26, | layman's 35:22 |
| 68:10,11 69:1,6 70:20 72:19,22,25,27,28 73:2, | irrespective 8:10 | 27,28 75:3 | lead 29:20 |
| 9 76:9,22 79:13 | isolated 73:13,16 | jurisdictional 7:18 | leader 5:17 6:12 14:16 |
| initial 24:2 71:24 | isolation 26:22 27:10 | Justice 46:11 | leading 14:12 |
| initiated 21:25 | issue 11:25 13:24 | K | leave 43:21 54:16 |
| inquiring 54:21 | 16:23 17:5,12,19 18:27 45:5,20 50:15 56:5 75:8 | N | ledger 9:5 |
| instance 54:9 | issues 4:7 11:23,27 | Karly 29:6 30:18 | Legakis 57:19 58:1,17, |
| Instituting 2:12 5:19 | 12:3 13:17,18 15:8,18, | KCCD 7:11,23 80:4 | 19 |
| institutional 48:10 | 19 16:14 23:1 26:19 28:5 32:8 43:26 45:13 | key 78:21 | legal 27:12 65:16 |
| instructions 62:5 | 73:16 75:17 | kind 7:5,8 20:1 29:12 | lend 50:12 |
| 63:25 | item 8:17 15:7 44:4 | 35:15 58:3 73:7 78:12 | leniency 34:25 |
| integrity 55:22 56:20 | 45:27 50:7 51:24 75:19 | knees 27:14 | let alone 53:12 |
| intend 20:13 25:21 36:21 38:9 63:6 77:27 | items 12:10 23:6 34:7 35:28 65:9 | knowledge 48:10 49:27 50:10 53:3,10,11, | letters 61:15 |
| intending 41:11 | it's 6:10 7:22 19:10 | 17 54:23,27 55:26 | Let's 2:4 4:12 22:20 30:22 72:4 80:14 |
| intends 43:13 | 20:3,27 25:28 28:5,6,8 37:13,14 41:21 49:13 | 58:11 Korean 4:16,19 7:11 | liability 12:25,27 13:12, 21,22 |
| intent 7:15,22 19:28 | 60:26 64:2,28 67:24,25 70:13 78:17,18 79:6,17 | 12:12,15,18 13:23 16:19 44:22 45:5 | liable 13:14 |
| 27:18 41:7 44:20 | 10.1310.11,1019.0,11 | 10.10 74.22 40.0 | |

intention 17:24

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION, STATE OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

lied 78:25

lift 65:4

list 8:18,28 12:2,7 14:19,23 47:6 59:3 67:27

listed 46:25 55:2 56:17 60:6

lists 12:10 15:17

litigant 43:24

live 60:21 61:3

Lo 47:26 48:16 49:20,26 50:4,14

Lo's 48:26 49:24

location 62:2,26

long 19:28 20:19 34:23 36:12 61:16

looked 8:18

loses 7:17

lost 56:12

lot 36:21 55:19,21 70:7

love 57:1

Μ

made 25:6 26:22,23 31:7 51:9 60:23 65:15 mail 28:2 main 75:19 make 22:25 28:1 37:14 38:4 41:28 51:21 59:8 64:4 77:11 making 37:28 malicious 27:18 34:27 35:19 management 2:11,22 4:27 6:24 7:2 8:20 11:21 12:9 15:9,15,17 17:10,25 21:14 42:19 44:16 46:1 47:16 48:21 50:17 53:15 54:1,6 56:17 57:11 64:8 Manager 46:7

managers 57:20,21 managing 56:9

35:20 49:14 58:3 70:1 March 16:24 23:2 matter 6:22 7:8,12,17, 21,26 12:26 18:27 19:12 20:2,3,10 22:11 26:16 28:7 33:14 35:12, 23 36:25 44:23 49:13 51:5 53:12 55:18 72:17 77:22,24 79:27,28 80:22 matters 75:13 77:16 means 27:6 28:1 37:26 41:8 68:24,27 69:21 70:23 73:21,22 75:24 **meet** 9:28 10:5.8.12 50:22 59:18 66:27 meeting 29:14 member 15:23,28 16:1, 9 members 8:8 mention 44:14,16 79:7 mentioned 14:13 15:16 19:17 21:11 metric 10:9,13 metrics 10:1 Million 2:14 15:22.26 17:3 23:8 48:7 56:9 mind 6:1 8:27 minute 30:15 57:17 **minutes** 72:1.3 misinformation 17:8,9 mistake 25:6 **Misuse** 2:15 modified 68:14 modify 72:15 74:8 moment 27:23,24 42:7 Monday 22:15 33:23 money 8:10 16:4 39:2 41:5 months 9:21

morning 2:6

manner 27:17 34:27

motion 2:13 4:15,17,22 13:1 23:3,23 32:21 33:18,25 34:1 41:14 43:28 45:1,2,12,19,24 74:7 75:2 **motions** 76:12 move 15:7 44:4,8 68:22 72:21 moving 22:27 45:26 60:11 73:17 multiple 29:28 mute 3:7 30:23 72:6 muted 58:28 Ν named 5:3 16:1 45:28 47:15 51:17 52:15,18, 21 names 53:6,9 57:16 60:5 narrative 60:18,20 61:10,23,24,27 62:18, 23 63:9,18 64:15,22 narrow 18:4 **Nathan** 48:4 50:25 54:10 55:3,14 59:10 Nathan's 60:4 nature 42:17 70:15 71:14 necessarily 7:7 needed 24:18 32:5 36:6 37:6 41:16.18 44:24 needing 31:16 69:24 72:16

Neri 48:1 50:24 54:3,21 55:3,13 59:10

NIU 56:9

non-profit 78:14 noon 22:21,24 59:18,22

note 77:7,11

noted 71:15

Index: lift..opportunity

7,22 notes 14:28 42:12 notice 38:8 59:3 66:3

notify 10:17 76:3

number 7:4 9:3,7,12, 17,20,23,28 10:5,8,17, 21,24 11:1,2,7,9,13,16, 19,28 12:4,11,22 13:4, 11,17,20,26 15:8 16:14, 18,24 17:6,12,13,19 21:3,13 25:28 26:1 36:15,16 42:1,3,4,5 44:4,9 45:27 48:5 53:5

numbering 10:28 34:3

numbers 9:24,25 15:20 34:4

0

O-R-T-E-G-A 3:26

O-S-B-O-R-N 46:16

obey 76:14

object 52:17

objection 52:25

objections 52:20 69:28

objective 67:11

obligation 12:24

obliged 70:11

obtain 72:25

occur 64:27

offer 28:20 34:21 40:6

office 10:26 18:21 19:17,19 24:21 32:16 46:9,14

officer 2:9

OII 14:8

ongoing 37:18

open 30:12 40:1 75:19

opening 41:26 60:26 64:12 65:7 68:17 69:10, 14 70:4,12 71:3,24 73:23 74:15,19,20 80:2

opportunity 32:25

33:25 61:1 64:22 70:20 **Osborn** 46:16 48:8,9 people 36:15 45:28 75:8.11 55:25 57:26 46:2,19 47:16 50:16,19, 21 53:18 54:26 57:3,15 opposing 15:12 45:2 outage 67:20 58:9 61:19 77:12 61:1 outstanding 4:3,6 perceived 19:23 opposition 80:3 over-enunciate 3:10 percent 10:14 option 28:22 70:26 perfectly 30:20 Ρ options 76:11 performance 10:1,9, order 2:7,12,16,27 5:19 13 P-E-N-N-E-Y 58:18 8:9 38:4,11 41:11 45:12 50:22 55:24 76:9 77:1 performing 16:10 P-R-A-S-S-A-D 46:7 orders 26:22 27:10 period 10:10,14 18:6 Pacific 4:18 65:5 20:28 36:20 57:21 paper 29:22 40:6,25 organization 25:20 **perjury** 61:13,18,28 papers 6:24 61:6 78:15 62:21 63:1,12 paperwork 37:15 organization's 23:12 permanent 37:1 parents 24:25 26:12,13 organizations 15:24, permit 72:24 48:6 28 16:1,10,12 permitted 73:15 part 12:28 13:2 14:1 organize 39:24 40:1 person 3:1 6:9 47:21 29:17 31:14 35:6 55:18, organized 28:28 58:14 19 56:11 57:2 60:13 78.26 **Ortega** 3:25 5:1,15,24 person's 60:21 61:3 6:6,8,11,16,18 7:27,28 participated 36:17 personal 13:12 36:18 10:25 13:14 14:10,15, 49:27 53:3,11 54:27 participating 36:16 22,25 17:23 18:4,14,16 20:12,22 21:1,4,11,18, personally 5:3 6:23,27 participation 53:10 24 22:4,9,18,22,23 8:5 20:12 76:7 80:19 23:25,28 24:1 25:9,10, perspective 42:21 particulars 57:28 16 28:11,12 29:2,13 31:6,12,14,25 32:2,8,26 **PG&E** 67:18 78:10 parties 2:27 3:3,5,7,9 33:1,16 34:2,10,16,18 4:1,28 5:14,23 8:20,23, phone 3:7 19:6 43:22 37:14 38:2,23,27 39:4, 26 13:7 30:10 37:12 5,15,23 40:4,8 41:2,6 physical 29:22 43:18,21,28 60:13,24 42:12,22 43:1,4,6,9,10, 64:8 65:14 67:22,25 pick 34:5 12 44:10 45:2,7,8,17, 70:24 73:11 74:10 18,25 47:5,8 48:16,19 pieces 73:13 79:14 80:8,12,17 49:25 50:3,28 51:1 place 2:10 10:27 40:10 parties' 15:9 52:3,10,11 53:14,22 61:22 54:2,4 55:12,16 58:10, **party** 5:10,16 15:12 23 59:9,12,15,16 60:2, 48:23 60:18 61:1 66:1 **plan** 10:3,7,11 11:4 7,9 61:5,25 62:4,6,7,11, 12:5 13:5 16:5,11 18:9 76:13 19 63:3,15,20,21 64:16 **point** 6:19 7:5,8 8:1,7 past 22:7 65:26 67:2,5,6,28 68:2 18:18 25:28 26:25 69:23,26 71:19,22 path 73:18 35:24,27 40:18,21 42:9 72:19 73:6 74:12.13.24 53:16 pay 20:7 75:21 76:19,23 77:8,9, 15,18,19 79:3,4,8,11,18 points 51:4 penalties 2:17 11:8 Ortega's 13:11 31:4 **position** 11:15 20:2 penalty 61:13,18,28 32:20 33:7 43:17,23 27:12 43:24 62:21 63:1,12

54:7 65:10 66:14 76:7

Penney 57:19 58:17,18

Index: opposing..proceeding

Post-new 10:15 potential 5:25 potentially 42:13 Practice 23:21 33:17 **Prassad** 17:7 46:6.10 47:23 48:14 precluded 36:27 preference 72:15 prejudiced 23:17 prejudices 74:15 preliminary 29:25 preparation 71:16 prepare 62:10 preparing 77:9 present 38:9 48:13 50:4,13 51:12 65:11 68:15 presented 44:25 presenting 36:13,27 49:13 **President** 5:2,16 6:7, 11 7:1 8:4,6 13:15 14:16 61:13 presiding 2:9 press 71:15 previous 44:25 65:10 previously 2:20 13:28 22:10 33:26 principle 26:11 printing 28:21 **pro** 26:17 43:24 61:6 problem 59:1 procedural 75:17 procedurally 41:13,23 Procedure 23:21 33:17 **procedures** 9:6 24:12 proceed 8:16 35:11 65:5 77:1

proceeding 2:9 4:10, 25 5:4,9 6:17 11:8

possibly 14:13 29:20

Index: proceedings..request

15:14 16:2 21:25 33:8, 10 35:16 36:24 37:26 40:26 47:12 48:18 75:28 79:24

proceedings 67:16 73:10 78:11

process 38:4,8 50:23 51:21 54:24 64:4 68:11 76:14 79:15,22

processes 24:12 56:1

produce 28:14 37:5 66:1

produced 10:25

production 32:20,23 33:6 40:22 75:23 76:5

Program 48:7

Programs 11:12

progress 66:28 78:20

promised 16:10 76:19

proposal 71:22

proposed 10:3 13:10 45:3

protected 6:28

protection 3:14,20 4:13 5:6,12 12:14 17:15 21:26,27 22:28 47:10 64:18

protest 44:20

prove 38:7

provide 12:24 23:19 31:16 33:4,8 36:22 40:1,7 41:11,22 46:25 51:28 53:23 54:14 55:12 57:15,16 59:13 60:2,7 67:9 69:16 71:24,26 72:27

provided 7:21 13:27 33:1 40:16 41:13 43:20 49:21 53:14 56:18,27 64:7 70:2,3 72:24

providing 42:23 70:12 72:26 73:25 78:5

Public 11:11

PUC 8:9

pull 5:21,26 17:20

punish 20:5

purpose 11:11 52:26 54:11,18

Pursuant 23:20

put 30:23 33:9 37:15

Putting 72:5

Q

quarter's 19:13

quarterly 17:1

quarters 9:19 17:2 19:24

question 5:5 6:21 13:13 17:16 18:28 19:3, 4 20:19 22:14 26:4,7 33:3 38:15,17,18 39:6,9 44:17 59:25 65:10 70:18 73:7

questioned 58:6

questions 4:11 24:22 25:24 31:13,16,23 32:5, 9,10,26 34:8,12,15,19 36:7 38:25 39:13,16 41:5 42:26 43:5,14 51:25 60:16 62:8 74:10 75:11,13 77:4,16

quick 18:18 59:24

R

R-I-V-A-S 48:1

ran 34:22

reach 7:18 39:7,22 78:22

reached 21:18

ready 64:6 68:19 69:20

reason 40:9 52:16 56:11 78:21

reasons 14:11

rebut 69:22,24 75:1

rebuttal 64:23,24 69:6, 18 71:7 74:1,9,25 77:14 receipts 27:3

receive 8:9 9:9 16:3 19:12 31:28 33:23 39:21 56:2 74:27

received 17:22,27 18:20 20:16 23:8 56:13, 25 74:21

receiving 11:10 28:25 50:6

recess 30:26 72:8

recognize 25:6

reconvene 30:24 72:5

record 2:5,7 3:16,24 9:4 14:1 18:27 24:14 29:18 37:8 44:18,19 72:5,7,10 73:5 75:27 76:23 78:3 80:11,13,15, 16,21

records 12:24 23:19 40:3,5,22 43:15 reduced 11:5 40:23

reducing 10:19

refer 4:14

referenced 42:19

referred 42:27

referring 20:22 42:26

reflect 44:19 61:2

refusal 23:18 27:19

refusing 2:19

regard 24:14

regulatory 46:3

reimbursement 17:1 19:13

reimbursements 10:23

related 23:12

relates 19:2 25:16 36:13 49:2 56:8,24 57:13 58:1

relation 27:20

relative 6:22 44:26

relevance 75:25

54:8,26 57:13 65:9 72:28 73:4 relies 68:10

relevant 45:20 47:11

relieve 16:9

remaining 4:28 5:10, 14 50:16

remarks 19:18 80:2

remedies 2:18 5:23 14:9,14

remedy 76:18

reminder 18:25

remote 65:3,5

rep 24:7

reply 64:19 65:8 71:4 73:27

report 9:17,20,23 12:1 13:18 49:22 50:1 68:9, 16,19,23 69:9,20,22,25 70:2,9,16 71:1,26 73:26 74:6,16,21,27,28 75:1,5

reported 11:3

reporter 3:11 5:28 29:7 30:20 58:8,20,22,25 59:1 80:6,9

reporting 2:25

represent 21:16 55:25

representation 27:12

representative 3:22 57:27

represented 22:11

representing 24:8

represents 18:26 21:27 48:9 49:5

request 17:18,27 18:1, 4,22 21:2,3,12 22:17 23:10,15 24:3 25:13,15, 17 26:26 27:9 28:27 31:4 32:21,27 33:27 34:14,24 35:4,18 38:13, 16,26 42:16,24 43:5,28 44:15 50:4 51:19 61:2 68:10,13 69:8 73:5 76:3,10,25

requested 5:23 36:1 76:10

requesting 36:8 43:13 45:4

requests 17:2,13,22 19:13 22:2,7 23:3,16,27 24:3 27:17 31:10,11 32:6 42:15 51:4 61:21 72:20 75:21

require 31:11 36:3 39:1

required 27:13 37:16, 19 38:6,21

requirement 62:20,24

requirements 13:5 50:23 62:17

requires 31:12 33:18 38:8 51:21

requiring 61:8

rescheduling 44:15

reserve 64:1

resolution 4:2 5:1 76:2 79:12,15,17

resolve 30:11 37:20 72:17 75:20 76:4 77:2

resolved 77:22,25

resources 50:11

respond 23:18,26 24:3, 6,26 25:14 27:16 33:18, 25 34:28 35:17 38:12 69:6,14 76:24

responded 17:18 24:27 26:26 35:1

respondent 5:3 9:3,8, 12,28 10:5,17 11:2

Respondent's 11:14, 16.20

respondents 10:8,12 11:10 16:2 73:14

responding 6:24 35:11 41:4 73:6

responds 43:4 72:20

response 18:22 19:16 23:22 24:14,19,28 25:1, 11,12 30:28 33:19,22, 24 38:13,19,22,25

39:14,18 42:16 48:21 66:14

responses 23:3 67:4 73:13 75:21,22

responsibilities 16:7, 9

responsibility 12:28 15:21,23,24

responsible 5:16 16:12

responsive 26:24 33:3,8 42:15,25

result 73:5

return 2:19

revenue 11:17 23:13 25:23

revenues 9:4,7

review 26:2,4 29:10 32:14 54:1 70:20 75:24, 25

reviewed 31:9 42:22

reviewing 8:19 29:19 66:14

14

12:8,23

68:8,13

21:13

70:6

revisit 50:8,14

Rivas 48:1 50:25 54:3 55:3,13 59:10

Rob 46:16 48:8

Robert 16:25.27 47:18 48:11

role 8:6 13:14 14:15

roll 3:13

Ron 48:3 50:25 53:9 54:4,21 55:3,14 59:10

rule 11:3 23:22 33:17, 21 34:1,17,20 35:15 76:13

ruled 5:26

rules 2:25 23:21 33:16 57:23

ruling 44:14

Ryan 17:1 47:20 48:12

Index: requested..Southern

settle 7:16 S settlement 4:15 7:10, 23 44:21 45:3 78:26 S-E-L-E-N-A 47:3 79:7 80:3 S-I-N-G-H 47:1 share 18:24 73:17 sanctions 5:25 **sheet** 20:21 40:24 satisfied 12:6 sheets 19:21 20:24,25 27:2 satisfy 32:19 33:6 Shek 22:10 Saturday 33:20 **short** 54:8,25 61:22 Scan 30:7 **show** 2:16 25:22 30:5 scanned 29:27 32:12 51:8 56:6 77:27 40:13,16 43:20 showing 26:12 scanning 31:17 side 37:7 **schedule** 4:10 37:24 42:2,5 51:14,26 60:12, sign-in 19:21 20:21,24, 13 64:1,6,7 65:18,23 25 27:2 66:18 68:5,14,22 69:15 signature 62:3,26 70:25 71:9,14,20 72:16, 63:13 23 73:20 74:8,11 75:6 **signed** 7:25 61:12 scheduled 64:28 **silence** 58:21 schools 4:22,24 26:12, similar 17:23 53:8 SCO 24:20 simple 67:24 section 11:23,24,25 simply 15:27 27:28 30:5 35:20 38:19 39:11 79:20,25 **seek** 9:13 17:26 19:9 **singed** 61:17 seeking 18:7,15 23:11 Singh 46:27 57:5 26:17 28:16 65:28 **single** 8:11 40:24 seeks 16:24 17:6 18:5 sit 29:13 situation 28:18 30:9 **Selena** 47:2 35:9 37:2 send 66:15 slowly 3:9 serve 8:27 71:3.7 small 78:14 served 23:24 36:20 Soledad 4:21,24 64:13,20 sought 21:19 service 8:28 78:4,5 services 2:15 9:10,15 sounds 41:25 52:27 23:5 67:19 source 23:19 34:6 set 16:7 57:23,24 61:10 sources 9:9,14 11:17 23:9 setting 74:14 Southern 78:10

speak 2:27 3:9 72:12 75:8 speaking 3:4,8 6:1 **specific** 19:2,4 30:2 32:7,11 specifically 14:10 16:18 30:6 42:24 49:3 54:11 78:28 **specificity** 19:8 24:12 43:3,14 specifics 79:7 spell 3:16,24 **spelled** 46:4,6,12,28 47:27 58:12 spelling 57:16 58:9,11 **spend** 39:2 **spent** 23:6 sponsor 52:7 **spot** 37:4 squeezed 68:28 staff 16:27 21:22 32:15 49:22 50:1 68:9,16,19, 23 69:9,25 70:2,9,16,28 73:26 74:6,16,21,27,28 75:1,5 **stage** 38:22 39:14,17 41:19 stages 38:13 standpoint 7:20 35:22 36:26 start 25:18 54:20 65:22 77:20 started 4:12 19:18 starting 53:16 state 10:25 24:20 32:16 34:22 39:11 46:8,9,14 61:27 62:1 65:2 79:20 stated 7:2 12:2,7 13:20 33:26 38:25 43:12 50:9 63:2 71:21 75:4 76:23 80.1 **statement** 4:27 7:3 8:20 11:22 12:9 15:16,

17 17:11,26 21:14 46:1

47:17 50:17 53:16 54:2, 6 60:19 61:11,24,27 62:5,23,27 63:7,18 64:15 74:20 statements 15:10 51:28 52:14 61:20 63:19 states 13:7,8 23:16

62:25 63:11

stating 36:4 44:1 45:3 **status** 4:1 5:9 6:17

statute 37:19

statutory 37:21,22

stay-at-home 65:4

step 29:25 30:1,3

steps 29:28 38:3 40:27

stipulated 64:10 65:24, 27 66:7,9,16,25 67:3, 10,13,16,18,20,23,27 73:21 76:27

stop 28:12 37:9 58:7

stories 48:24

story 41:12

strain 26:10

strict 7:14

strike 76:12

struggles 35:6

students 36:19 48:6

stuff 41:17

subject 8:24

submit 19:8 22:3 38:24 50:18 57:25 60:14 61:9, 19,23 63:8 64:22 67:26 69:3,4,9 71:4 73:12 74:7,19

submits 54:14

submitted 10:22 17:14 23:10,15 34:24 36:19 60:23 63:17

subsequently 20:17

substantiate 19:22

substantiating 20:1

suffice 69:19 suffices 68:3

sufficient 24:15 25:11 44:1

suggesting 29:24 54:13

suggestions 30:8

summary 41:12 53:1 54:8 55:8 56:17 76:21

supplement 68:8

supplemental 68:15, 19,23 69:9,17,25 70:2, 9,16,28 71:26 73:3,12, 26 74:6,16,21

support 10:23 11:7 50:20 51:19 61:15,17 63:7

supported 10:23 61:12

supporting 43:15 45:12 51:19 52:14 60:27 61:20 63:19 64:15

supposed 80:7

synopsis 41:12

Т

table 73:1

talk 48:23 51:14,15 52:12 75:22 80:7

talked 73:2

talking 19:27 27:1 30:3 51:2,23

target 77:26

team 18:25 28:4

technology 10:2,19 11:4 26:13 29:12

telephone 51:7 58:21

telephonic 2:1,26

telephonically 2:23

ten 10:8 12:10 13:26 23:23 71:28

term 15:27 17:9

terms 2:18 5:8 6:21 20:1 24:22 25:21 26:3 41:15

testify 53:2

testimony 8:27 48:26, 28 49:21,24 50:2,6,18 52:13,26 53:23 54:12, 15 56:20,24 57:12 60:14,17,22,26,28 61:2, 9,23 64:4,12,19 68:9, 15,17 69:7,11,17 70:4, 5,12 71:3,5,24 73:3,12, 23,27 74:1,15,25 77:10, 12,14

thing 20:10 41:22 70:14

things 27:14,15

thought 31:3 34:28 56:13 69:8

thoughts 8:15

throw 27:27

time 2:10 3:4 5:17 10:24 15:5 18:5 19:7,27 20:10,28 24:15 25:5,26 26:5 30:3,17 31:5,17 34:22,24 35:7,18 36:28 37:12 40:9 44:12 50:5 51:15 52:16 54:12 56:27 62:12,13 66:8 69:17,24 70:7,13,15,19 72:17 73:1 74:5,7 75:18 77:1 78:1

timeframe 51:2

timeline 30:9

timely 26:24 27:16 76:1

timing 27:8

titled 61:25 62:18

today 3:27 28:14 31:26 33:26 75:9 76:7 77:17 80:20

today's 44:15

tomorrow 22:17 27:26

top 65:22

topic 38:18 53:25

total 9:27 11:17,20 25:22,23 26:2

| lay 4, 2020 | |
|---|--|
| training 10:2,20 11:4,5 17:8,9 48:7 49:5 | 28:20 14,20 |
| transcript 3:12 80:8,18 | 15 5 61:14 |
| transpired 25:2 55:21 78:4 | 21 6 74:4 |
| travel 37:13 | unior |
| treating 19:15 | 11:14 25 1 |
| tremendous 25:19 26:10 | 10,18 44:20 |
| trial 50:23 68:25 70:21 | 64:12 20 7 |
| trouble 40:13 | unkn |
| troubling 19:24 | unwil |
| true 36:8,9 62:1,22 63:2,11 | upda 76:20 |
| two-stage 40:21 | Users 15:22 |
| U | utiliti |
| ultimately 14:12 | utilize |
| | |
| unauthenticated 76:16 | |
| unauthenticated | |
| unauthenticated 76:16 | V-A-N V-E-F |
| unauthenticated 76:16 uncertainty 40:15 unclear 52:25 understand 8:23 21:5, 24 25:10 37:11 38:23 39:3,4,7 43:7 45:17 51:24,27 52:4 54:23 55:24 61:5 62:4 63:4, 14,15,20,21 67:2 72:16, | V-A-N V-E-F Vane 14:5 49:11 59:22 68:7 |
| unauthenticated 76:16 uncertainty 40:15 unclear 52:25 understand 8:23 21:5, 24 25:10 37:11 38:23 39:3,4,7 43:7 45:17 51:24,27 52:4 54:23 55:24 61:5 62:4 63:4, | V-A-N V-E-F Vane 14:5 49:1 59:22 |
| unauthenticated 76:16 uncertainty 40:15 unclear 52:25 understand 8:23 21:5, 24 25:10 37:11 38:23 39:3,4,7 43:7 45:17 51:24,27 52:4 54:23 55:24 61:5 62:4 63:4, 14,15,20,21 67:2 72:16, 23 76:6 78:19 | V-A-N V-E-F Vane 14:5 49:1 59:2: 68:7 Vera |
| unauthenticated 76:16 uncertainty 40:15 unclear 52:25 understand 8:23 21:5, 24 25:10 37:11 38:23 39:3,4,7 43:7 45:17 51:24,27 52:4 54:23 55:24 61:5 62:4 63:4, 14,15,20,21 67:2 72:16, 23 76:6 78:19 understandable 66:19 understanding 22:5 27:13,14 35:21 49:2 | V-A-N V-E-F Vane 14:5 49:11 59:22 68:7 Vera 54:5 |
| unauthenticated 76:16 uncertainty 40:15 unclear 52:25 understand 8:23 21:5, 24 25:10 37:11 38:23 39:3,4,7 43:7 45:17 51:24,27 52:4 54:23 55:24 61:5 62:4 63:4, 14,15,20,21 67:2 72:16, 23 76:6 78:19 understandable 66:19 understanding 22:5 27:13,14 35:21 49:2 54:18 78:9 79:28 | V-A-N V-E-F Vane: 14:5 49:11 59:22 68:7 Vera 54:5 verba |
| unauthenticated 76:16 uncertainty 40:15 unclear 52:25 understand 8:23 21:5, 24 25:10 37:11 38:23 39:3,4,7 43:7 45:17 51:24,27 52:4 54:23 55:24 61:5 62:4 63:4, 14,15,20,21 67:2 72:16, 23 76:6 78:19 understandable 66:19 understanding 22:5 27:13,14 35:21 49:2 54:18 78:9 79:28 understands 43:12 | V-A-N V-E-F Vane 14:5 49:1 59:2 68:7 Vera 54:5 Verba versa |
| unauthenticated 76:16 uncertainty 40:15 unclear 52:25 understand 8:23 21:5, 24 25:10 37:11 38:23 39:3,4,7 43:7 45:17 51:24,27 52:4 54:23 55:24 61:5 62:4 63:4, 14,15,20,21 67:2 72:16, 23 76:6 78:19 understandable 66:19 understanding 22:5 27:13,14 35:21 49:2 54:18 78:9 79:28 | V-A-N V-E-F Vane 14:5 49:11 59:22 68:7 Vera 54:5 verba versa versa |
| unauthenticated 76:16 uncertainty 40:15 unclear 52:25 understand 8:23 21:5, 24 25:10 37:11 38:23 39:3,4,7 43:7 45:17 51:24,27 52:4 54:23 55:24 61:5 62:4 63:4, 14,15,20,21 67:2 72:16, 23 76:6 78:19 understandable 66:19 understandable 66:19 understanding 22:5 27:13,14 35:21 49:2 54:18 78:9 79:28 understands 43:12 understood 35:12,14 | V-A-N V-E-F Vane: 14:5 49:11 59:22 68:7 Vera 54:5 verba versa versa |

unethical 77:26

Union 3:23 5:2,10,13 6:7,12,26 7:18 8:3,4,6 9:4,8 12:12 13:15,22,27 14:16,26 16:19 17:14 20:5,11 23:11 26:3,9 28:20 30:4 38:1,6,11, 14,20 41:20 44:8 47:14, 15 52:21 55:27 59:4 61:14,22,26 62:20 64:5, 21 69:10,21 71:2,6 74:4,7,18 77:24

union's 4:26 7:14 11:14,21,27 12:3,9,23, 25 15:15 16:14,23 17:5, 10,18,25 23:18 36:2 44:20 60:16 63:17 64:12,14 73:23 74:1,9, 20 77:23

unknown 32:3

unwillingness 28:7

Jpdate 66:15,24 73:11 76:26

Users 2:14 10:15 15:22,27 17:3 23:8 48:7

utilities 67:17

utilize 26:13

V

/-A-N-E-S-S-A 3:19

V-E-R-A 48:3

Vanessa 3:18 5:11 14:5 28:3,23 42:8 46:21 49:17 52:22 53:28 59:23,27 63:26 65:19 68:7 71:10 72:13 75:15

Vera 48:3 50:25 53:9 54:5 55:3,14 59:10

verbal 18:1

versa 52:5

ersion 50:20

versions 48:23

versus 13:21

v**ice** 52:5

violate 11:2

violating 2:18

violations 76:13 Virus 51:13

voluminous 31:6

W

W-U-L-L-E-N-J-O-H-N 47:19

waiting 35:20

wanted 7:5 19:26 21:15 24:25 26:8 44:19 48:25 57:23,25 59:2 60:1 64:3 73:17 77:7,20 78:7 79:24 80:5

wasting 70:7

ways 20:7

Webex 29:14,19,25 30:4 32:19,25 33:5 43:22 51:12

Wednesday 22:18,20, 24 59:17,21 76:20

weekend 33:21

weeks 68:13,25,28 70:26 75:3

weight 13:8 78:6,18 79:21

well-articulated 37:7

willingness 79:23

wishes 64:17 71:26

witnesses 4:8 21:22 22:1 42:6 45:22,27 46:1,23 47:6,7,9 48:14 50:12 51:11,17,18,20 52:1,6,17,20,27 53:4,24 54:19,22 56:15,28 58:5 59:3,5,7 60:8,16,27,28 63:7 64:27 76:16,21 77:9,11

woefully 18:23

wondering 67:7

words 67:22

work 6:25 10:2,6,11 11:4 12:5 13:5 16:5,11 18:8 20:6,7 22:22,25 24:13 31:15 65:23,26 72:24 76:8

working 26:12 39:18

workshops 10:16

worth 49:5

write 62:27

writing 38:19 63:8 76:24

written 38:24 39:22 60:14,17,22

wrong 79:1

wrongdoing 78:28

Wullenjohn 16:26,27 47:18 48:12

Υ

year 10:11 25:27 35:8 37:20

years 10:10,13 28:17 31:28 34:14 42:28 78:17

Ζ

Z- 58:15

Zebra 58:15

Zeniada 57:18 58:14

Zhang 2:4,8 3:22,27 5:18 6:4,13,15 7:27 8:1 14:17,22 15:6 17:28 18:14 20:20,26 21:1,7, 23 22:6,15,20,26 25:10 27:25 28:11,13 29:4,8, 24 30:16,22,27 31:19, 21 32:17 33:11,15 34:8, 12 37:11 39:1,6,17 40:18,21 41:2 42:1,10 43:6,11,17 44:7 45:1, 11,18,26 46:26 47:4,9 49:15 50:3 51:11 52:5, 12 53:14 54:13,28 55:2, 8,11 58:27 59:2,21,26 60:7,11 62:8,16 63:5, 16,23 64:3 66:6,13,23 67:1,15 68:4,18,22 69:8,20 70:21 71:18,28 72:4,9 73:19 74:25 76:6 77:7 79:8,11 80:6,10,14